

An HTML/CSS schema for \TeX primitives — generating high-quality responsive HTML from generic \TeX

Dennis Müller

This paper uses $\mathcal{S}\TeX 3$. The semantically annotated HTML version of this paper is available at url.mathhub.info/tug23css.

Abstract

I present a schema for translating \TeX primitives to HTML/CSS. This translation can serve as a basis for (very) low-level \TeX -to-HTML converters, and is in fact used by the $\text{R}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ system — a (somewhat experimental) implementation of a \TeX engine in Rust, used to convert $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ documents to HTML — for that purpose.

Notably, the schema is accurate enough to yield surprisingly decent (and surprisingly often “the exactly right”) results on surprisingly many “high-level” $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macros, which makes it adequate to use in lieu of (and often even instead of) dedicated support for macros and packages.

1 Introduction

Translating $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ documents (partially or fully) to HTML is a difficult problem, primarily because the two document formats address very different needs: \TeX is intended to produce statically laid out documents with fixed dimensions, ultimately representing ink on paper. HTML, on the other hand, assumes a variety of differently sized and scaled screens and consequently prefers to express layouts in more abstract terms, the typesetting of which are ultimately left to the browser to interpret, ideally responsively — i.e. we want the document layout to adapt to different screen sizes, ranging from 8K desktop monitors to cell phone screens.

This means that there is no one “correct” way to convert \TeX to HTML — rather there are many choices to be made; most notably, which aspects of the static layout with fixed dimensions described by \TeX code to preserve, and which to discard in favour of leaving them up to the rendering engine, thus explaining the plurality of existing converters.

Naturally, many $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macros are somewhat aligned with tags in HTML; for example, sectioning macros (`\chapter`, `\section`, etc.) correspond to `<h1>`, `<h2>`, etc.; the `{itemize}` and `{enumerate}` environments and the `\item` macro correspond to ``, `` and ``, respectively; and so on. Most converters therefore opt for the reasonable strategy of mapping common $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macros directly to their closest HTML relatives, with no or minimal usage

of (simple) CSS, effectively focusing on preserving the *document semantics* of the used constructs (e.g. “paragraph”, “section heading”, “unordered list”). In many situations, this is the natural approach to pursue, especially if we can reasonably assume that the document sources to be converted are sufficiently “uniform”, so that we can provide a similarly uniform CSS style sheet to style them, and this is largely the way existing converters work. To name just a few:

- $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}\text{ML}$ [7] focuses strongly on the *semantics*, using XML as the primary output format and heuristically determining an author’s intended semantics of everything from text paragraphs (definitions, examples, theorems, etc.) down to the meaning of individual symbols in mathematical formulae; achieving great success with ar5iv.org, hosting HTML documents generated from \TeX sources available on arxiv.org.
- $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}4\text{ht}$ [12] focuses on plain HTML as output with minimal styling, going as far as to (optionally) replace the `\LaTeX` macro by the plain ASCII string “`LaTeX`”.
- Pandoc [5] largely focuses on the most important macros and environments with analogues in all of its supported document format to convert between any two of them, e.g. \TeX , Markdown, HTML, or `docx`.
- Mathjax [6] focuses exclusively on macros for mathematical formulae and symbols, allowing to use \TeX syntax in HTML documents directly, which are subsequently replaced via JavaScript by the intended presentation.

However, the approach described above has notable drawbacks: Firstly, it requires special treatment of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macros that plain \TeX would expand into primitives, and the number of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macros is virtually unlimited — CTAN has (currently) a collection of 6399 packages, tendency growing, which get updated regularly, and authors can add their own macros at any point. Supporting only the former is a never-ending task, and providing direct HTML translations for the latter is impossible. This is made worse by the very real and ubiquitous practice among $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ users of copy-pasting and reusing various macro definitions and preambles assembled from stackoverflow, friends and colleagues, and handed down for (by now *literally*) generations, even in situations where (unbeknownst to them) “official” packages with better solutions (possibly supported by HTML converters) exist.

For example, I myself have happily reused the following macro definition for years:

An HTML/CSS schema for \TeX primitives

```
\usepackage{amsmath,amssymb}
\def\forkindep{\mathrel{\raise0.2ex\hbox
{\oalign{\hidewidth$\vert$\hidewidth
\cr\raise-0.9ex\hbox{$\smile$}}}}}

```

... neither knowing nor caring what it actually does other than that it allows me to typeset $A \downarrow_C B$ (“ A and B are *forking-independent* (or *non-forking*) over C ”; a concept in model theory)¹ — despite there being a Unicode symbol (0x2ADD) and a corresponding L^AT_EX macro `\forksnot` in the `unicode-math` package. If we want to maximise coverage, we therefore need a reasonable strategy for arbitrarily elaborate unexpected L^AT_EX macros.

Secondly, by generating rather plain HTML, we guarantee that the resulting presentation is *neutral* and can be easily adapted by users via their own CSS stylesheets — the “morally correct” thing to do. However, it also severely clashes with the expectations of (casual) users that the result look roughly the same as the PDF. After all, L^AT_EX documents are written by authors in a way that is inevitably optimized for a particular layout and arrangement of document elements. Subsequently discarding them in favor of as-plain-as-possible HTML that optimizes more for the “document semantics” of the components than their (precise) optics yields plain looking HTML that is immediately perceived as ugly, “not what I want” and requires lots of massaging to achieve a similar aesthetic level as the PDF generated by pdfL^AT_EX. And *aesthetics matter* — that’s why T_EX was created in the first place.

Thirdly, by focusing on supporting as many L^AT_EX macros as possible directly, conversion engines tend to neglect support for primitives in multiple senses of “support” — indeed, I found it difficult to find any existing T_EX documents of mine that “survive” any of the existing HTML converters for a realistic comparison, typically dying with no output or only initial, badly formatted fragments.

The RuS_TE_X system is a T_EX-to-HTML converter born out of our needs in the S_TE_X project [4, 10]. The `stex` package allows for annotating L^AT_EX documents (in particular mathematical formulae and statements) with their (flexi-)formal semantics. These documents are subsequently converted to HTML, preserving both the (informal) document layouts as well as the semantic annotations in such a way that knowledge management services acting on the semantics can be subsequently integrated via JavaScript. Our

¹ Possibly sourced from tex.stackexchange.com/questions/42093/what-is-the-latex-symbol-for-forking-independent-model-theory — I needed and found it some time around 2013.

existing corpora of S_TE_X documents cover a wide range, from individual fragments (definitions, theorem statements, remarks, ...) up to research papers, lecture slides in `beamer`, and book-like lecture notes that usually *include* the slides between text fragments, all of them using a multitude of (typical and untypical, official and custom) packages, preambles and stylings.

We consequently want to translate the sources for all these heterogeneous documents to HTML such that 1) the results look as similar to their PDF counterparts as possible, 2) the semantic annotations are preserved as XML attributes, and 3) (most importantly) conversion succeeds for any error-free document, regardless of packages and macros used, so that at least the semantic annotations can be extracted, even if the presentation is occasionally (somewhat) broken.

Contribution Motivated by the above, this paper describes RuS_TE_X’s rather extremal point in the design space of L^AT_EX-to-HTML converters: The goal is to mimic the core T_EX expansion mechanism (i.e. pdfL^AT_EX) as closely as possible and map the resulting sequence of T_EX primitives to (primarily) `<div>`s with CSS attributes, while avoiding the neverending amount of work required for the special treatment for non-primitive T_EX macros. Ideally, this allows for achieving full error-free coverage with respect to converting full documents, and yielding HTML that looks reasonably close to what a user would expect.

Of course, if we *only* care about aesthetics, we might as well render the generated PDF in the browser directly. So as an addendum to the above, we should add the desideratum that the HTML remain “reasonably recognizable as HTML”: for example, plain text in paragraphs (or horizontal boxes) should actually be represented as plain text in the resulting HTML — in fact, we want to leave to the browser as much as possible of what a browser does best: break lines in paragraphs, size boxes based on their contents (where we want them to be), and arrange components based on available (screen) space, according to constraints imposed by our CSS schema.

RuS_TE_X’s git repository [9] contains a `.tex` file with test cases for (and beyond) all the following, and the HTML generated from them for direct comparison. Additionally it contains the PDF and HTML produced from my Ph.D. dissertation [8], which serves as a particularly good test case for several reasons:

1. I was a typical L^AT_EX user when I wrote it, with no particular knowledge of T_EX’s internal workings, and hence unbiased by what I would nowadays do to avoid problems.

2. I spent a lot of effort on making it look nice by the usual means — copy-pasting from elsewhere and using whatever package google tells me to use to achieve the desired effect.
3. It is a 215 page document using everything from elaborate formulas, syntax-highlighted code listings, various figures and tables, and color-coded environments (using `tcolorboxes`) for remarks, theorems, examples, definitions, etc.

The HTML generated from our \S TeX corpora can be found at `url.mathhub.info/stex`, including this paper (see link above), which thus additionally serves as a demonstration of the examples below (notably, with two column mode deactivated). They also power our *course portal* at `courses.voll-ki.fau.de`, where students at our university can access semantically annotated course materials and various didactic services generated from them. The full CSS schema is also available.²

Disclaimer I am not arguing to eschew dedicated support for \LaTeX and package macros entirely — document semantics can be important, for example for accessibility reasons. Additionally, while the translation presented here is surprisingly effective, it has clear limitations, especially on the scale of *individual characters* (see section 9).

Hence, the ideas of this paper should be seen as a reasonable *fallback* strategy usable *in conjunction with* dedicated support for macros. Indeed, RusTeX too currently implements (a few) package macros, namely `\url`, `\not` and `\cancel`, `\underbrace` and `\overbrace`, `\marginpar`, the `{wrapfig}` environment, and (somewhat embarrassingly) `\LaTeX`.

In fact, if this paper has a purpose beyond reporting on what I consider to be an interesting experiment, it should be the following: *Taking TeX primitives seriously pays off aesthetically*, can save a lot of work and effort, and where possible, I encourage developers of TeX -to-HTML converters to take them seriously *in addition to* dedicated support for macros.

Furthermore, many of the techniques described below are the result of more-or-less informed experimentation; in many cases, better ways to represent TeX primitives in HTML might exist. I appreciate feedback and suggestions for improvements.

2 General architecture

As mentioned, RusTeX attempts to mimic the behaviour of $\text{pdf}\text{\LaTeX}$ as closely as possible. As such, it implements the behaviour of the primitive com-

mands available in plain TeX , $\varepsilon\text{-TeX}$ and pdfTeX , amounting to $293 + 47$ commands, excluding primitive “register-like” commands such as `\everybox`, `\baselineskip`, `\linepenalty`, etc. Their precise behaviour has been determined from (obviously) the bible [3] and the manuals for $\varepsilon\text{-TeX}$ and pdfTeX , but also often reverse engineered via extensive experimentation.

The program starts by locating, via `kpsewhich`, a user’s `pdftexconfig.tex` and `latex.ltx` and processing them first. This requires that the user have a \LaTeX distribution set up, but subsequently makes sure that RusTeX behaves as close to the local \LaTeX setup as possible.

Tokens are expanded in the expected manner down to the primitives, which cause state changes, impact expansion, or ultimately end up fully processed in RusTeX ’s *stomach* waiting to be output as HTML. The latter primitives are the subject of this paper.

`pgf` (and thus `tikz`) is handled via an adapted version of the existing SVG driver and thus omitted here. Images are inserted directly in the HTML in base64 encoding.

In lieu of a *shipout routine*, box registers for *floats* (as well as `\inserts` such as footnotes) are occasionally heuristically inspected and inserted, but this mechanism is due for a more adequate treatment and hence also omitted.

2.1 Trees and fonts

Naturally, HTML is a tree structure of nested nodes. Somewhat counter-intuitively, so are TeX ’s *stomach* elements, but unfortunately at the cost of attaching information such as the current font, font size, color, etc., directly to the individual “character boxes”. If we wanted to introduce a `` node for every individual character, we could mimic this directly in HTML — however, this approach is too extreme even for my taste. Luckily, in almost every situation where colors and fonts are changed, the changes are achieved via \LaTeX macros that align with TeX ’s “stomach tree”. For example,

```
\textbf{\textcolor{blue}{some} \emph{text}}
```

clearly entails a tree of font and color changes, which ideally should be represented as a corresponding HTML tree:

```
<span style="font-weight:bold">
  <span style="text-color:blue">some</span>
  <span style="font-style:italic">text</span>
</span>
```

² `github.com/slatex/RusTeX/blob/master/rustex/src/resources/html.css`

And indeed, all three macros (`\textbf`, `\textcolor`, `\emph`) introduce \TeX groups for their arguments, assuring that these changes reflect a tree structure.

Consequently, $\text{R}_{\text{U}}\text{S}\mathbb{T}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{X}$ can (somewhat) safely add special nodes to the stomach on font changes, changes to the color stack, or *links* (as produced by `\pdfstartlink`). As these are (usually) local to the current \TeX group, the stomach consequently also keeps track of when \TeX groups are opened and closed. If such changes (i.e. their start and end points) conflict with other stomach elements' delimiters, such as boxes or paragraphs, they are appropriately closed and subsequently reopened, e.g.:

```
Some paragraph \begingroup \itshape
this is italic \par
New paragraph, still italic \endgroup not
italic anymore
```

typesets as:

<pre>Some paragraph <i>this is italic</i> <i>New paragraph, still italic</i> not italic any- more</pre>

and would yield HTML similar to:

```
<div class="paragraph">
  Some paragraph
  <span style="font-style:italic">
    this is italic
  </span>
</div>
<div class="paragraph">
  <span style="font-style:italic">
    New paragraph, still italic
  </span>
  not italic anymore
</div>
```

In general, the nodes produced by font changes and similar commands are considered “*annotations*”: If these nodes have no children, or a single child that modifies the same CSS property, they are discarded or replaced by their only child. If they have a single child or are the only child of their parent node, the corresponding `style`-attribute is attached to the relevant node directly. Only in the remaining case is an actual `` node produced in the output HTML.

To deal with fonts in general, it should be noted that most \TeX fonts are freely available in a web-compatible format (e.g. `otf`) online; we *could* consequently use the actual fonts used by \TeX in the output PDF. In practice, we prefer to have adequate Unicode characters in the HTML output, rather than ASCII characters representing a position in a font table. Consequently, $\text{R}_{\text{U}}\text{S}\mathbb{T}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{X}$ instead hardcodes fonts as pairs of 1) a map from ASCII codes to Unicode

strings and, 2) a sequence of font modifiers (e.g. *bold*, *italic*). The former is used to produce actual characters, the latter to choose appropriate CSS attributes as above.

Currently, $\text{R}_{\text{U}}\text{S}\mathbb{T}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{X}$ fixes Latin Modern as the font family used, but somewhat nonsensically obtains font metrics the same way as \TeX , by processing the `tfm` files on demand [2], providing only rough approximations of the actual values (in HTML).

2.2 Global document setup

At `\begin{document}`, $\text{R}_{\text{U}}\text{S}\mathbb{T}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{X}$ determines 1) the current font and its size, 2) the page width (as determined by `\pdfpagewidth`) and 3) the text width (as determined by `\hsize`), and attaches them as corresponding CSS attributes to the `<body>` node—the page width determining the `max-width` and the calculation $(\langle page\ width\rangle - \langle text\ width\rangle)/2$ determining the `padding-left` and `padding-right` properties. The latter is important to accommodate e.g. `\marginpar` and related mechanisms, and is discussed more precisely in section 5.

3 Boxes and dimensions

Clearly, the most important primitives to get “right” are (horizontal or vertical) *boxes*, produced by `\hbox`, `\vbox` and variants (`\vtop`, `\vcenter`), as they are the primary means that more elaborate macros use to achieve their aims. They also serve as good examples of the complexities involved when translating to HTML.

Boxes have five important numerical values that matter with respect to how they are typeset: `width`, `height`, `depth`, `spread` and `to`, which we will discuss shortly.

Horizontal boxes (as produced by `\hbox`)—as the name suggests—have their contents arranged horizontally, and vertical ones vertically. This is nicely analogous to the CSS *flex model*, so naturally, we can associate boxes with CSS flex display values. An entire document can be thought of as a single top-level vertical box. Hence:

```
.hbox, .vbox, .body {
  display: inline-flex;
}
.vbox, .body { flex-direction: column; }
.hbox { flex-direction: row; }
```

An important distinction that matters here is that between the actual *contents* of the box and its *boundary*. Usually, the dimensions of a box are computed from the dimensions of its children—which, conveniently, is analogous to HTML/CSS, so in the

typical case we do not need to bother with them at all and leave those up to the rendering engine:

```
.hbox, .vbox, .body {
  width: min-content;
  height: min-content;
}
```

Whenever possible, we *avoid* precisely assigning dimensional values in HTML and defer to the ones computed by the rendering engine. This is important to account for discrepancies between HTML and T_EX, e.g. regarding the precise heights of characters, lines, paragraphs, etc.

However, the dimensions of a box can be changed after the fact, using the `\wd`, `\ht` and `\dp` commands (corresponding to `width`, `height` and `depth`, respectively). If these dimensions are changed, the *contents* and how they are laid out are not changed at all, but the typesetting algorithm, when putting “ink to paper”, will proceed *as if* the box had the provided dimensions. This allows macros to layer boxes *on top* of each other; in the (very common) most extreme case by making boxes take up no space at all. For example:

```
\setbox\myregister\hbox{some content}
\wd\myregister=0pt \ht\myregister=0pt
\dp\myregister=0pt
\box\myregister other content
```

This will produce a horizontal box with the content “some content” with all dimensions being 0 from the point of view of the output algorithm, meaning the “other content” following the box will be put directly *on top* of the box, like so:

some content

Hence, we *do* have to occasionally consider the actual (computed or assigned) dimensions of T_EX boxes and other elements.

Regarding boxes, we attach actual values for `width/height` to their HTML nodes *if and only if* they have been *assigned* fixed values, and let

```
.hbox, .vbox { overflow: visible; }
```

We can then achieve the same effect in HTML via:

```
<div class="hbox" style="width:0;height:0;">
  some content
</div> other content
```

3.1 width/height vs. to

Things get more interesting if the assigned values for the dimensions of the box are *larger* than the actual box contents — this tells us how we need to align the contents of boxes vertically and horizontally. This,

however, is also where the `to`-value of a box comes into play:

Setting `\wd=<val>`, for any `<val>`, for a horizontal box, as mentioned, does not impact the way the box *content* is laid out. However, using `\hbox to=<to-val>{...}` *does*, while also setting the `width` of the box: The `to`-attribute instructs T_EX to arrange the contents of the box “in line with” the box being `<to-val>` wide. For example:

```
\hbox{some box content}
\hbox to \textwidth{some box content}
```

some box content
some box content

This example is deceptive in that it suggests the box contents were evenly spread out across the `<to-val>` of the box, but this is not so. Consider:

```
\hbox to \textwidth{
  \hbox{some}\hbox{box}\hbox{content}
}
\hbox to \textwidth{%
  \hbox{some}\hbox{box}\hbox{content}%
}
```

someboxcontent
someboxcontent

It’s not that the individual content elements in the box are spread out evenly; instead, they are left-aligned and glue items (e.g. from space, newline, and tab characters) behave approximately as if they were `\hfil` — i.e. they take up as much space as they can in the containing `\hbox`. And while subsequently the box has a width of `<to-val>`, it can be changed with `\wd` like any other box:

```
\setbox\myregister\hbox to \textwidth{%
  \hbox{some}\hbox{box}\hbox{content}%
}\wd\myregister=0pt \box\myregister
\setbox\myregister\hbox to \textwidth{%
  \it some box content%
}\wd\myregister=0pt \box\myregister
```

some boxcontent	<i>box</i>	<i>content</i>
------------------------	------------	----------------

This distinction between the three values `width`, `to`, and “total width of the box’s children” forces us to distinguish between a) the box itself (i.e. its contents) with its (potential) `to` value, and b) its “boundary box”, i.e. subsequently assigned `widths` and `heights`. The same holds analogously for the `to` value and `height` of a vertical box:

```
.hbox { text-align: left; }
.vbox { justify-content: flex-start; }
.hbox-container, .vbox-container {
```

where the `.hbox-container`-class is used for *assigned* widths and heights, and `to` translates to the width of the `.hbox` itself. Making spaces behave as they should in an `\hbox` forces us to style them accordingly:

```
.space-in-hbox {
  display: inline-block;
  margin-left: auto;
  margin-right: auto;
}
```

Using this class for spaces (directly) in `\hboxes` makes the remaining content stretched across the full width of the box, as in the examples above.

Notably, \TeX allows for *negative* values in dimensions, which CSS does not. To capture the resulting behaviour, whenever a dimension (e.g. `width`) is < 0 , we set the `width` CSS property to 0, and attach (in this case) `margin-right: <width>` to the HTML node (analogously `margin-top` for `height`).

Finally, the `spread` parameter can be used *instead* of `to` and *adds* the provided dimension to the computed width/height of the box; e.g. if `\hbox{foo}` has width 15pt, then `\hbox spread 15pt{foo}` has width $15 + 15 = 30$ pt:

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque.foo Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque.

Annoyingly, the only way to accommodate this seems to be to compute the “original” value, add the `spread` value, and attach that as the final width/height to the `<div>` node.

3.2 Depth and rules

So far, we have considered `width` and `height`, but \TeX has an additional dimension for boxes that CSS does not: `depth`, which measures the extent to which a given box extends *below* the baseline of the parent box. Depth is rarely important, or rather, matters primarily when manipulating individual characters, which CSS is currently not capable of for reasons explained later. However, notable, and not uncommon, exceptions are explicitly *assigned* depth values, in particular for `\vtop` boxes.

To better understand depth, let’s turn our attention to the `\vrule` primitive, which produces a colored box of the provided dimensions:³

```
 Lorem ...
 \vrule width 10pt height 10pt depth 10pt
 Lorem ...
```

³ `\hrule` is implemented analogously, except for using `display:block` instead of `inline-block`.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque. ██████████ Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque.

This creates a black box with 10pt width and a total 20pt height, centered at the *baseline* of the current line: extending 10pt *above* the baseline (the `height`) and 10pt *below* (the `depth`).⁴

Such a box with the right dimensions can be easily produced using CSS:

```
.vrule {
  display: inline-block;
}
```

The individual `<div>`s are then provided `background`, `width` and `height` ($=\text{height}+\text{depth}$) properties corresponding to the color and the dimensions of the `\vrule` — in the above example:⁵

```
 style="background:#000000;height:20pt"
```

The tricky part is ensuring that the box is correctly positioned with respect to the surrounding text (or other elements). As above, the solution is to wrap the `.vrule <div>` in a `.vrule-container` with the same height as the inner `<div>`, and adding `margin-bottom: -<depth>` to the inner `.vrule`. This not only allows for moving the box the specified amount below the baseline, but also makes sure that the “boundary” that the rendering engine computes for positioning elements has the relevant dimensions as well.

If a rule has no explicitly provided width/height, \TeX gives it a thickness of 0.4pt, and other dimensions fitting the current box:

```
\hbox{ \vrule
 \vbox{ \hbox{some} \hrule \hbox{text}}
 \vrule }
```

| some |
 | text |

We can easily set the width of the `\hrule` with `width:100%` to achieve the same effect. Unfortunately, the same does not work with `\vrule` and its height in HTML, as an artifact of when and how the heights of boxes are computed by the rendering engine. In those situations, we have to distinguish between paragraphs and `\hboxes`: In the former case we heuristically set the height to the current font size; in the latter (since we are in a flex box), we

⁴ Note the gap between the second and third line of text, caused by the depth of the `\vrule`.

⁵ For simplicity’s sake, we will use the same dimensions (in `pt`) in both \TeX code and CSS; in practice, we scale `1pt` in \TeX to a value in `px` units.

can set `align-self:stretch` to make the rule fit the containing box.

3.3 `\vbox` vs. `\vtop` vs. `\vcenter`

`\vtop` behaves like `\vbox`, except that where a `\vbox` is vertically aligned at the *bottom* of the parent box's baseline, a `\vtop` is vertically aligned at the top with the surrounding text, extending downwards. `\vcenter` is vertically aligned at the center and is only allowed in math mode:

```
some text \vbox{\hbox{some}\hbox{vbox}}
text \vtop{\hbox{some}\hbox{vtop}}
text $\vcenter{\hbox{some}\hbox{vcenter}}$
text
```

<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: left; padding-right: 10px;"> <p>some some text vbox text</p> </div> <div style="text-align: left; padding-right: 10px;"> <p>some text vcenter</p> </div> <div style="text-align: left;"> <p>some text vtop</p> </div> </div>

Internally, the three types of vertical boxes differ precisely in their a priori `depths` and `heights`. As long as these are not subsequently reassigned (using `\ht` and `\dp`), we can achieve the same effect much more accurately by using the `vertical-align` property, that covers the same primary *intent* of the three types of vertical boxes:

```
.vbox{ vertical-align: bottom }
.vtop{ vertical-align: baseline }
.vcenter{ vertical-align: middle }
```

We now need to be careful with changing the *height* of a `\vtop` box, however: Since the primary vertical dimension of a `\vtop` corresponds to its *depth* (below the baseline), *increasing* its height actually corresponds to moving the box contents upwards *without changing the amount of space it takes up below* the baseline.⁶

```

Lorem ...
\setbox\myregister\vtop{\hbox{some}\hbox{vtop}}
\ht\myregister=20pt\box\myregister
Lorem ...
```

<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisc- some</p> </div> <div style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"> <p><code>\vtop</code></p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>ing elit pellentesque. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit pellentesque.</p> </div> </div>

This can be approximated in HTML by setting both the `margin-top` and `bottom` CSS properties of the `.vbox-container` to the value $\langle height \rangle - \langle current\ line\ height \rangle$: The `bottom` property moves the box

⁶ Again, note how the three lines in the paragraph are pushed apart by the unchanged depth and new height of the box.

upwards, while the `margin-top` property makes sure that the boundary box grows accordingly, instead of the moved box overlapping with other elements.⁷

Conversely, if we manipulate the *depth* of a `\vtop`, we can set the `height` of the `.vtop` HTML node itself to $\langle depth \rangle + \langle current\ line\ height \rangle$.

Annoyingly, it turns out that height/depth manipulations on `\vboxes` and `\vtops` (respectively) do not play well with `vertical-align` CSS properties within paragraphs — the boxes are not correctly aligned vertically. When explicitly setting these dimensions, it is therefore necessary to, as with `\vrule`, introduce an intermediate HTML node with class `.vbox-height-container` to achieve the effect.

4 Paragraphs

At a first glance, paragraphs in T_EX seem largely straightforward:

```
.paragraph {
  text-align: justify;
  display: inline-block;
  margin-top: auto;
}
```

The `margin-top:auto` assures that paragraphs are vertically aligned at the bottom of `\vboxes`.

Any horizontal material (e.g. text, `\noindent`, `\unhbox`) outside of a paragraph, or an `\hbox` (and similar constructions) *opens* a new paragraph, and `\par` closes it again.

If we were primarily interested in document semantics without caring about the page layout dictated by T_EX, we could be done at this point. However, in T_EX, paragraphs have fixed widths dictated by several parameters and commands, including `\hsize`, `\leftskip`, `\rightskip`, `\hangindent` and `\hangafter`, and `\parshape`. This matters when a paragraph is opened inside a `\vbox`. Consider, for instance

```

Lorem ipsum \vbox{Some Text} Lorem ipsum
```

<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 30%;"> <p>Lorem ipsum ipsum</p> </div> <div style="width: 40%;"> <p>Some Text</p> </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <p> Lorem ipsum</p> </div> </div>

The `Some Text` in the `\vbox` opens a new paragraph, including indentation, and that paragraph has width `\hsize`, regardless of its contents. The `\vbox` itself then inherits the full width of the containing paragraph.⁸

Approximating this behaviour (in the absence of dedicated macro support) matters, for example to

⁷ The same idea is used for `\raise`/`\lower`.

⁸ Here, we've set `\hsize` to a smaller value to attempt to demonstrate the effect without breaking the layout of this article too much.

accommodate `{minipage}`s, `tcolorbox` and similar packages. This is also one case where \TeX is significantly more flexible than HTML/CSS: `\hangindent` and `\parshape` do not have CSS equivalents. While in principle it might be possible to “emulate” them using empty `<div>` nodes with `float` attributes, we currently ignore them and proceed as if the whole paragraph were typeset according to the rules applying to the last line; e.g. the last entry in the `\parshape` list.

The relevant parameters can subsequently be condensed into three attributes, in the simplest case computed thusly: 1) the actual width of the text (`\hspace-(\leftskip+\rightskip)`), and 2) left and right margins (`\leftskip` and `\rightskip`), which we translate to the CSS attributes `min-width`, `margin-left` and `margin-right`, respectively.

Notably, to accommodate macros that make use of computed dimensions of various boxes, we need to approximate \TeX 's line breaking algorithm to make sure that the computed heights of paragraphs are reasonably accurate.

5 Responsiveness and relative widths

The above suggests that we need to hardcode the absolute widths of both the document as a whole (in the sense of `\textwidth/\pagewidth`) as well as the widths of paragraphs and `\hboxes`. This is of course undesirable in that it destroys responsive layout in HTML. Ideally, we would prefer to use *relative* widths in terms of percentages.

Regarding the document width, this is easily resolved: Instead of letting `width:<text width>`, we set `max-width:<text width>`. This way, the page accommodates smaller screens, but if enough screen space is available will default to the size for which the document was originally designed.

Relative widths in general however only work as expected if the direct parent of a node has a fixed assigned width, and as previously mentioned, insofar as possible we want to defer the precise dimensions of HTML nodes to the rendering engine. Moreover, once we have a box with `width:0`, no percentage will get us back to a non-zero value. Both problems would be solvable if CSS allowed for inheriting attribute values from arbitrary ancestors, but since it does not, we need to be more creative:

Instead of directly inheriting, we can use a *custom* CSS property `--current-width` and initialize it as `--current-width:min(100vw,<text width>); width:var(--current-width)` in the body. (The `vw` unit is 1% of the width of the “viewport”, i.e., browser windows.) This achieves the same effect as the more naive approach above, but now allows for

stating other widths in the body of the HTML node as values relative to the `--current-width` attribute.

Using this approach, all relative widths in a document are now relative to the *current document's* initial `\textwidth`. This is problematic in the context of \LaTeX , where the `\inputref` macro largely replaces \TeX 's `\input`: Besides allowing for referencing source files relative to a math archive (i.e. a “library” of document snippets), which is important for building modular libraries, when converting to HTML `\inputref` simply inserts a reference to the file, which can subsequently be dynamically inserted into the referencing document. This obviates both the need to reprocess the same file for every context in which it occurs, as well as to rebuild all referencing files every time any of the `\inputrefed` files change. Notably, such `\inputref`s often occur deeply nested, e.g. a file with a short individual definition might be `\inputrefed` in an `{itemize}` environment in a definition block in a framed beamer slide within lecture notes.

This entails that we would like to inherit widths from *the closest ancestor with a fixed assigned width* > 0 (e.g. the innermost `\item` in the example above) rather than the `<body>`, and update the value of `--current-width` accordingly, to accommodate any document context in which the HTML node might (dynamically) occur.

For example, given a top-level `\vbox` with width `0.5\textwidth` (e.g. a `{minipage}`), we would like to do:

```
<div class="vbox" style="--current-width:calc(
  0.5 * var(--current-width));
  width:var(--current-width)">...</div>
```

Unfortunately, CSS does not allow for self-referential attribute updates; so we have to use an intermediary custom attribute `--temp-width` and an inner `` to do the following:

```
<div class="vbox" style="--temp-width:calc(
  0.5 * var(--current-width));
  width:var(--temp-width)">
  <span style="display:contents;
    --current-width:var(--temp-width)
  ">...</span></div>
```

to achieve the desired effect. While this is ugly from an implementation point of view, it allows for variable viewport widths and solves the problem with inheriting widths *through* boxes of size 0.

6 Skips and text alignment

In section 4, `\leftskip` and `\rightskip` were considered as simple dimensions, but skips have three

components: A base dimension, an (optional) *stretch* factor, and an (optional) *shrink* factor. A skip represents a (horizontal or vertical) space that is ideally $\langle base\ dimension \rangle$ wide/high, but can stretch or shrink according to the other two components to fit the current page layout. Stretch and shrink factors have one of four units `pt` (or any fixed unit), `fil`, `fill` or `filll`, the latter three representing “increasingly infinite” stretch/shrink factors.

Skips are used to introduce vertical or horizontal space, using (most commonly) the `\hskip` and `\vskip` commands. Focusing solely on their base dimensions for now, both can be represented as empty `<div>` nodes with corresponding `margin-left` or `margin-bottom` values, respectively. Conveniently, this works with both positive and negative base dimensions, and we can use the same mechanism for `\kern`, which for all practical purposes behaves like `\hskip` or `\vskip` with zero stretch/shrink. This allows us to cover both of the following cases:

```
\noindent some text \hskip20pt some text\par
\noindent some text \hskip-20pt some text
```

some text	some text
some text	text

If we add a stretch factor, we can produce the following:

```
\noindent some text \hskip20pt plus 1filll
some text\par
```

some text	some text
-----------	-----------

Unfortunately, CSS has no analogue for stretch and shrink factors. For *shrink*, this largely causes no serious issues. *Stretch* factors however are primarily used to achieve (primarily horizontal) *alignment*. Left-aligned, centered, or right-aligned content is achieved in \TeX by inserting corresponding skips; so the best we can do is to represent skips as the CSS `text-align` property:

If `\leftskip` or `\rightskip` have stretch factors, we compare them and set the alignment for the paragraph accordingly. For `\hbox`, we need to inspect the contents of the box for initial and terminal occurrences of relevant skips, compare them, and derive the intended alignment depending on which is “bigger”.

Additionally, we can add `margin-left:auto` to the `<div>`s corresponding to skips iff they have a stretch factor of (at least) `1fil`; however, this only works in `\hboxes` (not in paragraphs), and does not necessarily behave correctly in conjunction with other skips.

Thankfully, text alignment seems to be the primary regularly occurring situation where skips are noticeable and important to represent accurately in the HTML, which this heuristic approach seems to cover reasonably well. While discrepancies between PDF and HTML can be easily found, they are usually not severe.

7 Math mode

For stomach elements in math mode, we naturally use Presentation MathML. Translating the relevant primitives to MathML is largely straightforward and covered elsewhere [11], with the slight “modernization” that we prefer CSS over MathML attributes. Since the font used for MathML depends on the rendering engine, and some of these are rather unsatisfactory (e.g. vanilla Firefox under Ubuntu), we can explicitly set the font to `Latin Modern Math` for a more unified look. Skips and kerns are implemented as above, but using `<mSPACE>` nodes instead of `<div>`.

Regarding font sizes, we can either defer to the rendering engine or use the sizes from \TeX — in which case we need to make sure that we override the CSS rules imposed by the rendering engine via:

```
msub > :nth-child(2), msup > :nth-child(2),
mfrac > * , mover > :not(:first-child),
munder > :not(:first-child) {font-size:inherit}
```

More pressingly however, occurrences of `\hbox` or `\vbox` in math mode require us to “escape” back to HTML in `<math>` elements. While not officially supported, using `<mTEXT>` nodes for that works well in both Firefox and Chromium (and with some hacking with MathJax). However, when doing so, various CSS properties are inherited from the default stylesheet for MathML. Hence, whenever we escape back to horizontal or vertical mode, we explicitly insert the parameters of the current text font, and set:

```
mTEXT {
  letter-spacing: initial;
  word-spacing: initial;
  display: inline-flex;
}
```

As mentioned in [11], spacing around operators (i.e. `<mo>` nodes) is governed by an operator dictionary. The spacing rules are in principle well-chosen and best left to the rendering engine. \TeX can change these however, using the commands `\mathop`, `\mathbin`, etc. To accommodate this functionality, we can explicitly set left and right padding based on \TeX ’s math character class, and set:

```
mo {padding-left: 0;padding-right: 0}
```

Notably, this works (as of May 2023) in Firefox, but not in Chromium-based browsers,⁹ where the spacing determined by the operator dictionaries is effectively a *minimum* that cannot be reduced further.

Changing these spacing factors can occasionally be important when composing symbols from more primitive ones. For example, the `\Longrightarrow` macro \Rightarrow concatenates the symbols $=$ and \Rightarrow with a negative `\kern` between them—in which case unintended spacing between the two symbols can break the intended result.

8 `\halign`

The `\halign` command is the primitive which most \LaTeX commands and packages use to lay out *tables*, and not surprisingly, its closest correspondent in HTML is `<table>` nodes. However, as with text alignment, effects that in HTML are achieved via attributes of the parent node (`<table>`, `<tr>` or `<td>`) are achieved in \TeX via content elements *in* the individual cells—or between them: Where a table in HTML is exactly a sequence of rows consisting of cells, in \TeX , the `\noalign` command allows for inserting vertical material *between* rows, which is used to insert horizontal lines (e.g. `\hrule`) or determine the spacing between rows. Borders and spacing between cells are achieved via `\vrules` and `skips`.

Hence, we have to face two major problems when translating `\haligns` to `<table>`s:

1. If we want to accommodate spacing, text alignments and borders, we need to “parse” the contents of cells and `\noalign` blocks to determine which CSS attributes to attach to the `<table>`, `<tr>` and `<td>` nodes. This is made worse by the fact that the margin attributes on `<td>` and `<tr>` nodes have no actual effect.
2. The *height* of a `<tr>` is computed from the *actual* height of its children, and even enclosing a whole cell in a `<div>` with `height:0` does not change the actual height of the relevant `<tr>`.

While the former problem is inconvenient but solvable, the latter becomes severe when we consider some less obvious situations for which `\halign` is used: For example, the `\forkindep` macro mentioned above uses `\oalign` to combine the two characters $|$ and \smile , which in turn uses an `\halign` to superimpose them, forcing us to make the rows narrower than `<tr>`s allow for.

Therefore we use the CSS grid model for `\halign` rather than the (seemingly more adequate) `<table>`:

⁹ Conversely, scaling brackets properly with `stretchy="true"` seems to not work in Firefox as yet.

```
.halign {
  display:inline-grid;
  width: fit-content;
  grid-auto-rows: auto;
}
```

with cells being styled like `.hbox` with the additional attributes `height:100%;width:100%`, and any `\halign` with n columns being given the additional CSS attribute `grid-template-columns:repeat(n, 1fr)`. This aligns the individual cells almost exactly like `<table>` would, but gives us the more control over their intended heights.

`\noalign` vertical material can now be inserted in a `.vbox` `<div>` with `grid-column:span n`. This entirely obviates the need to implement special rules for visible borders or spacing between rows/columns: The existing treatment for `\vrule`/`\hrule` and `skips` produces (almost universally) the desired output out of the box.

Notably, empty cells in `\halign` are not actually empty. Consider:

```
\halign{###\cr a&b\cr c&d\cr&\cr e&f\cr}
```

ab
cd
ef

The third row has no content, but we still get a row that has roughly the same height as the other three. We can remedy this effect via:

```
\baselineskip=0pt\relax
\halign{###\cr a&b\cr c&d\cr&\cr e&f\cr}
```

ab
cd
ef

or do even more ridiculous things:

```
\baselineskip=0pt\relax
\lineskiplimit=-100pt\relax
\halign{###\cr a&b\cr c&d\cr&\cr e&f\cr}
```

aß

This entails that we need to take `\baselineskip` and `\lineskiplimit` into account, using them to compute `min-height` (for normal `\baselineskip`) or `height` values (in case of sufficiently negative `\lineskiplimit` values) for the cell’s HTML node.

9 Limitations

This brings us to the first insurmountable difference between \TeX and CSS: *lines*. A line of text in \TeX

consists of individual character boxes with individual heights, widths and depths, and the spacing between lines is governed by the three parameters `\baselineskip` (the “default” distance between two baselines), `\lineskiplimit` (the minimally allowed distance between the bottom of a line and the top of the subsequent one), and `\lineskip` (the minimal skip to insert between two lines, if their distance is below `\lineskiplimit`). In particular, the height of a horizontal box containing e.g. a single character is entirely determined by the height of that particular character.

In contrast, a line of text in HTML/CSS has a *fixed* height of the current `line-height` value regardless of the occurring characters — and every single character counts as a “line”: for every character, a *leading* space is inserted on top of it to make the containing box adhere to the `line-height`. This makes box manipulation on the level of individual characters currently (almost) impossible.¹⁰

One striking example for this is the `\LaTeX` macro, where the `A` is enclosed in a `\vbox`. `RuSTeX` replaces its expansion by a simple `\raise\hbox` to achieve the (almost) same effect.

Situations where the layout critically depends on very precise positioning and sizing of boxes remains tricky. This is the case, for example, with the `tikzcd` package, where the nodes are laid out as tables, with `pgf` arrows between the individual cells.

On another front, various macros make use of `LATEX` floats in non-trivial ways, such as `\marginpar` and the `{wrapfig}` environment, making special treatment for them (as of yet) unavoidable.

Finally, the `xy` package is a clear example of where, due to its usage of custom fonts, there is currently no feasible way to achieve support in terms of `TEX` primitives alone; anecdotally, I have been told that a `pgf` driver for `xy` is in the works, which, if completed, would likely immediately work for `RuSTeX` as well.

10 Conclusion

Despite the limitations mentioned above, the schema presented here works surprisingly well in a variety of cases. For example, list environments (`{itemize}`, `{enumerate}`, etc.), `{lstlisting}`, `{algorithmic}`, `tcolorbox`, figures, various environments for definitions, theorems and examples, `bibtex` and `biblatex`, and many other macros, environments and packages, often with intricate options and configurations, work

¹⁰ A proposal to the W3C CSS working group regarding leading space, which would presumably help here, has been open since 2018: github.com/w3c/csswg-drafts/issues/3240.

out of the box without special treatment and with the expected presentation in the HTML.

Indeed, it is certainly surprising how much can be achieved without providing dedicated implementations for non-primitive macros, to the point where I am nowadays more surprised if the schema *fails* than when it *succeeds*.

To mention one particular highlight: A tongue-in-cheek paper was published in May 2023 on arxiv.org that argued for solving the order-of-authors problem in scientific publishing by *overlaying all the author names on top of each other*, including instructions how to achieve that in both `TEX` and HTML [1].

Running `RuSTeX` over the `LATEX` sources for the paper produced the right layout directly (Figure 1).

PDF:

To compensate for alphabetical discrimination, several specific papers have explored alternate mechanisms for deciding authorship order, as documented in a footnote. These mechanisms include competition via 25-game croquet series (Massell 1974), 2-day backgammon contest (Madley 1977), tennis match (Griffithson 1978), basketball free throws (Rescharits 1991), arm wrestling (Birmingham 1995), brownie bake-off (Young 1992), a game of chicken (Hochnerstein 1983), or rock paper scissors (Wahlberg 2004); by coin toss (Billard 1992), dice roll (Stiftold 2011), the outcome of famous cricket games (Ghazara 2010), currency exchange rate fluctuation (Mitchell-Olds 2003), or dog treat consumption order (Mason 2019); or by authors’ height (Woodward 2005), fertility (Dalycock 1992), proximity to tenure (Gillespie 1998), reverse alphabetical order (Mason 2019), or degree of belief in the paper’s thesis (Chalkers 1998). Others have proposed games such as Russian roulette (“publish and perish”) (Purvis 2016). See the excellent surveys (Duffy 2016; Deville 2014; Obscura 2014) and their comments.

HTML:

To compensate for alphabetical discrimination, several specific papers have explored alternate mechanisms for deciding authorship order, as documented in a footnote. These mechanisms include competition via 25-game croquet series (Massell 1974), 2-day backgammon contest (Madley 1977), tennis match (Griffithson 1978), basketball free throws (Rescharits 1991), arm wrestling (Birmingham 1995), brownie bake-off (Young 1992), a game of chicken (Hochnerstein 1983), or rock paper scissors (Wahlberg 2004); by coin toss (Billard 1992), dice roll (Stiftold 2011), the outcome of famous cricket games (Ghazara 2010), currency exchange rate fluctuation (Mitchell-Olds 2003), or dog treat consumption order (Mason 2019); or by authors’ height (Woodward 2005), fertility (Dalycock 1992), proximity to tenure (Gillespie 1998), reverse alphabetical order (Mason 2019), or degree of belief in the paper’s thesis (Chalkers 1998). Others have proposed games such as Russian roulette (“publish and perish”) (Purvis 2016). See the excellent surveys (Duffy 2016; Deville 2014; Obscura 2014) and their comments.

Figure 1: Screenshots from [1] in PDF and `RuSTeX` generated HTML

The most important aspects for generating adequate (and often great) HTML seem to be the “proper” treatment of `\hbox/\vbox`, `\hrule/\vrule` and skips/kerns, which `RuSTeX` implements as described here. Their treatment should be relatively easily adaptable to, and usable by, other HTML converters, where “PDF-like” HTML output is desirable.

The most dire *limitations* are often related to intrinsic limitations of CSS — presumably, any extension of CSS that allows for more fine-grained control, especially on the character level, would allow for even better translations from `TeX`.

One more important limitation is the lack of accessibility features in the generated HTML, as a result of `RuSTeX` operating on `TeX` primitives. With the adoption of the `tagpdf` package,¹¹ this can likely be easily remedied by providing primitive support for the annotations generated by it and translating them to corresponding HTML attributes.

Future work. Naturally, some of the techniques described here have been slightly simplified and are augmented in practice via various heuristics that are still subject to experimentation and improvements. Other discrepancies or problems are usually addressed (if possible) as we become aware of them (which still happens regularly).

It should be noted that `RuSTeX` itself grew out of a hobby project, in the course of which I had to learn both Rust and `TeX`. As a result, the code base is, in hindsight, not well-structured and incompatible with various extensions that would be desirable; one example being support for `XqTeX`, which operates on Unicode rather than bytes for its basic character tokens. For that reason, I am currently working on refactoring the project into a modular library generic in as many aspects as possible, to allow for easily exchanging and adapting components of both the core engine and the output.¹² In the course of that, I am also experimenting with retaining the precise fonts used in a document, replacing them with their publicly available Unicode fonts where those exist.

Acknowledgements

The presented research is part of the VoLL-KI project, supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) under grant 16DHBK1089.

¹¹ github.com/u-fischer/tagpdf

¹² Published here: crates.io/crates/tex_engine

References

- [1] E.D. Demaine, M.L. Demaine. Every author as first author, 2023. arxiv.org/abs/2304.01393.
- [2] D. Fuchs. `TeX` font metric files. *TUGboat* 2(1):53–61, 1981. tug.org/TUGboat/tb02-1/tb02fuchstfm.pdf
- [3] D.E. Knuth. *The TeXbook*. Addison-Wesley, 1984.
- [4] M. Kohlhase, D. Müller. The `sTeX3` package collection. github.com/slatex/sTeX/blob/main/doc/stex-doc.pdf
- [5] J. MacFarlane. Pandoc — a universal document converter. pandoc.org, 2023.
- [6] MathJax: Beautiful math in all browsers. mathjax.org
- [7] B. Miller. `LaTeXML`: A `LaTeX` to XML converter. dlmf.nist.gov/LaTeXML
- [8] D. Müller. *Mathematical Knowledge Management Across Formal Libraries*. Ph.D. thesis, Informatics, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Dec. 2019. opus4.kobv.de/opus4-fau/files/12359/thesis.pdf
- [9] D. Müller, et al. `slatex/RuSTeX`. github.com/sLaTeX/RuSTeX
- [10] D. Müller, M. Kohlhase. `sTeX3` — a `LaTeX`-based ecosystem for semantic/active mathematical documents. *TUGboat* 43(2):197–201, 2022. tug.org/TUGboat/tb43-2/tb134mueller-stex3.pdf. kwarc.info/people/dmueller/pubs/tug22.pdf
- [11] L. Padovani. MathML formatting with `TeX` rules, `TeX` fonts, and `TeX` quality. *TUGboat* 24(1):53–61, 2003. tug.org/tugboat/tb24-1/padovani.pdf
- [12] `TeX4ht`. tug.org/tex4ht/

◇ Dennis Müller
Friedrich-Alexander University,
Erlangen-Nürnberg, DE
[dennis.mueller \(at\) fau.de](mailto:dennis.mueller@fau.de)
ORCID 0000-0002-4482-4912