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Abstract
Although it is possible to read external files in TeX, extracting information from them is rather difficult. Ad hoc solutions tend to use nested if statements or regular expressions provided by several macro packages. However, these quick hacks don’t scale well and quickly become unmaintainable.

LuaTeX comes to the rescue with its embedded LPEG library for Lua. LPEG provides a domain-specific embedded language that allows for writing grammars in a natural way. In this article I give a quick introduction to Parsing Expression Grammars (PEG) and then show how to write simple parsers in Lua with LPEG. Finally we will build a JSON parser to demonstrate how easy it is to even parse complex data formats.

1 Quick introduction to LPEG and Lua

The LPEG library [1] is an implementation of Parsing Expression Grammars (PEG) for the Lua language. It provides a domain-specific embedded language for this task. Its domain is, naturally, parsing. It is embedded in Lua using overloading of arithmetic operators to give it a natural syntax. The language it implements is PEG. The LPEG library has been included in LuaTeX since the beginning [2]. The examples in this article are based on the talk “Using Spirit X3 to Write Parsers” which was given by Michael Caisse at CppCon 2015 [3], where the speaker introduces the Spirit X3 library for C++ to write parsers using PEG. The Spirit library is not too dissimilar from LPEG and if you are looking for a parser generator for C++, I recommend it.

To make sure that we are all on the same page and the reader can easily understand the syntactic constructions used throughout this manuscript, we review some aspects of the Lua language. First of all, let’s note that all variables are global by default, whereas local variables have to be preceded by the local keyword.

local x = 1

Most of the time we want definitions to be scoped, so this pattern will show up often. Another important thing to note about the Lua language is that, in contrast to many other programming languages, functions are first class variables. That means that when we declare a function, what we actually do is assign a value of type function to a variable. That is to say, these two statements are equivalent:

function f(...) end

f = function(...) end

Lua implements only a single complex data structure, the table. Tables in Lua act as both arrays and key-value storage; in fact, it is possible to mix both forms of access within a single instance, as in the following:

local t = { 11, 22, 33, foo = "bar" }
print(t[2], t["foo"], t.foo) -- 22 bar bar

As can be inferred from that, array indexing in Lua starts at 1. For tables and strings Lua offers a useful shortcut. When calling a function with a single literal string or table, parentheses can be omitted. In the following snippet the statements on the left are equivalent to the ones on the right.

f("foo") f"foo"
ff{ 11, 22, 33 } ff{11,22,33}

Especially when programming with LPEG this shortcut can save a lot of typing and, once used to it, makes the code a lot more readable. I will make extensive use of this syntax.

2 Why use PEG?

Before we delve into the inner workings of LPEG, let me first give some motivation as to why we would like to build parsers using PEG. Imagine trying to verify that input has a certain format, e.g. a date in the form day-month-year: 09-08-2019. One approach could be to split the input at the hyphens and verify that each field only contains numbers, which is simple enough to implement using TeX macro code. However, the task quickly becomes more complicated when further requirements come into play. Merely because something is made up of three groups of numbers doesn’t make it a valid date. In situations like these, regular expressions (regex) sound like a good solution and in fact, the regex to parse a “valid” date looks fairly innocent:

\[0-3][0-9]-[0-1][0-9]-[0-9]{4}\]

I put “valid” in quotation marks, because obviously this regex misses several cases, such as different number of days in different months or leap years. I encourage the reader to look up a regular expression which covers these special cases, to get an impression as to how quickly the regex gets out of hand. Furthermore, neither a pure TeX solution nor regex implementations in TeX are fully expandable, which
is often desirable. Maybe they can be made fully expandable but not without tremendous effort.

3 What is PEG?

The question remains, how does PEG help us here? Let’s first look at a more or less formal definition of PEG, adapted from Wikipedia [4]. A parsing expression grammar consists of:

- A finite set $N$ of non-terminal symbols.
- A finite set $Σ$ of terminal symbols that is disjoint from $N$.
- A finite set $P$ of parsing rules.
- An expression $e_S$ termed the starting expression.

Each parsing rule in $P$ has the form $A ← e$, where $A$ is a nonterminal symbol and $e$ is a parsing expression.

To illustrate this, we have a look at the following imaginary PEG for an email address.

$$\langle name \rangle ← [a-z]+ (\text{"." } [a-z]+)^*$$

$$\langle host \rangle ← [a-z]+ \text{"." } (\text{"com"/"org"/"net"})$$

$$\langle email \rangle ← \langle name \rangle \text{"@" } \langle host \rangle$$

The symbols in angle brackets are the non-terminal symbols. The quoted strings and expressions in square brackets are terminal symbols. The entry point $e_S$ is the rule named email (not specially marked).

The present grammar translates into natural language rather nicely. We start at the entry point, the email rule. The email rule tells us that an email is a name, followed by a literal @, followed by a host.

The symbols name and host are non-terminal, meaning they can’t be parsed without further information, so we have to resolve them. A name is specified as one or more characters in the range a to z, followed by zero or more groups of a literal dot, followed by one or more characters a to z. A host is one or more characters a to z, followed by a literal dot, followed by one of the literals com, org, or net. Here the range of characters and the string literals are terminal symbols, because they can be parsed from the input without further information.

As a little teaser, we will have a look at how the above grammar translated into LPEG.

```lua
local name = R"az"^1 * (P"." * R"az"^1)^0
local host = R"az"^1 * P"." * (P"com" + P"org" + P"net")
local email = name * P"@" * host
```

We can already see that there is some sort of mapping to translate PEG into LPEG; indeed, at first sight it seems like this translation is almost 1:1. We will learn what the symbols mean in the next section.

4 Basic parsers

LPEG provides some basic parsers to make life a little easier. These map the terminal symbols in the grammar. Here they are, with examples:

- `lpeg.P(string)` Matches string exactly.
  This matches “hello” but not “world”:
  `lpeg.P("hello")`
- `lpeg.P(n)` Matches exactly n characters.
  To match any single character we could use `lpeg.P(1)`
- `lpeg.P(-1)`
- `lpeg.S(string)` Matches any character in string (a set).
  To match normal whitespace we could use:
  `lpeg.S(" \t\r\n")`
- `lpeg.R("xy")` Matches any character between x and y (a range). To match any digit:
  `lpeg.R("09")`

To match any character in the ASCII range we can combine lowercase and uppercase letters:

`lpeg.R("az", "AZ")`

It is tedious to constantly type the `lpeg.` prefix, so we omit it from now on. This can be achieved by assigning the members of the `lpeg` table to the corresponding variables.

```lua
local lpeg = require"lpeg"
```

5 Parsing expressions

By themselves these basic parsers are rather useless. The real power of LPEG comes from the ability to arbitrarily combine parsers. This is achieved by means of parsing expressions. The available parsing expressions are listed in table 1. Below, I show some examples where the quoted strings in the comments represent input that is parsed successfully by the associated parser unless stated otherwise.

- **Sequence**: This implements the “followed by” operation, i.e. the parser matches only if the first pattern is followed directly by the second pattern.
Description | PEG | LPEG
---|---|---
Sequence | $e_1e_2$ | patt1 * patt2
Ordered choice | $e_1|e_2$ | patt1 + patt2
Zero or more | $e^*$ | patt$^0$
One or more | $e+$ | patt$^1$
Optional | $e?$ | patt$^{-1}$
And predicate | &e | #patt
Not predicate | !e | -patt
Difference | patt1 - patt2

Table 1: Available parsing expressions in LPEG with their name and corresponding symbol in PEG. Note that the difference operator is an extension in LPEG and not available in PEG.

P"pizza" * R"09" -- "pizza4"
P(1) * P":." * R"09" -- "a:9"

- **Ordered choice**: The ordered choice parses the first operand first and only if it fails continues to the next operand. So the ordering is indeed important.

R"az" + R"09" + S".,;:?!"  
-- "a", "9", ";;?!", "failing to parse"

- **Zero or more, one or more, and optional**: These are all captured by the same construct in LPEG, the exponentiation operator. A positive exponent $n$ parses at least $n$ occurrences of the pattern, a negative exponent $-n$ parses at most $n$ occurrences of the pattern.

R"az"$^0$ + R"09"$^1$  
-- "z86", "abcde99", "99"
R"az"$^-1$ + R"09"$^1$  
-- "z86", "abcde99"  
-- "99" fails to parse

- **And predicate, not predicate**: These are special in that they do not consume any input. As might be expected, the not predicate only matches if the parser it negates does not match.

R"09"$^-1$ * R":;"  
-- "86;"
R"99"$^1$ * -(R"az"$^-1$)  
-- "for()"
-- "forty" fails to parse

- **Difference**: The difference operator matches the first operand only if the second operand does not match. This can be useful to match C style comments which collect everything between the first /* and the first */. However, care must be taken that the second operand cannot successfully parse parts of the first operand. If that is the case, the resulting rule will never match.

P="/a" * (1 - P="/a")$^0$ * P="/a"  
-- "/a comment "/a"

- **Simple examples**: Let us study a simple example which parses two words separated by a space. The LPEG grammar is stored in the variable `rule`. The rest of the example shows the boilerplate that is necessary.

```lua
local lpeg = require"lpeg"
local input = "cosmic pizza"
local rule = R"az"$^1$ * P" " * R"az"$^1$
print(rule:match(input) .. " of " .. #input)
```

This will print on the terminal “13 of 12” because all the input has been consumed and the parser stopped at the end of input, which is the 13th “character” in this string. As we can see, the function `rule:match` parses a given input string using a given parser and returns the number of characters parsed. Another way to invoke a parse is using `lpeg.match(rule, input)`, which is equivalent to `rule:match(input)."
The next example is slightly more complicated. We will parse a comma-separated list of colon-separated key–value pairs.

```lua
local input = [[foo : bar,
gorp : smart,
falcou : "crazy frenchman",
name : sam]]
```

The double square brackets denote one of Lua’s so-called long strings, which can have embedded newlines. The colons and commas that separate keys and values, and entries, respectively, are surrounded by whitespace. To match all possible optional whitespace we use the set parser and the optional expression.

```lua
local ws = S" 	\r\n"^0
```

With this, the specification for the key field is one or more letters or digits surrounded by optional whitespace.

```lua
local name = ws * R("az", "AZ", "09")^1 * ws
```

The value field, on the other hand, can have either the same specification as the key field, which does not allow embedded whitespace, or it can be a quoted string, which allows anything between the quotes. To this end we specify the grammar for a quoted string, which is simply the double quote character, followed by anything that is not a double quote, followed by another double quote. The whole thing may be surrounded by optional whitespace.

```lua
local quote =
    ws * P"" * (1 - P"")^0 * P"" * ws
```

Therefore an entry in the key–value list is a `name`, followed by a colon, followed by either a `quote` or a `name`, followed by at most one comma. The whole key–value list may have any number of entries, so we apply the zero or more expression to the aforementioned rule.

```lua
local keyval =
    (name * P":" * (quote + name) * P""^-1)^0
```

Matching the rule against the input in the same way as the previous example gives “67 of 66”.

7 Grammars

The literal parser `P` has a second function. If its argument is a table, the table is processed as a `grammar`. The table has the following layout:

```lua
P("<entry point>",
   <non-terminal> = <parsing expression>
   ...
)
```

The string “entry point” is the name of the rule to be processed first. Afterwards the rules are listed in the same manner as they were assigned to variables in the previous example. To refer to non-terminal symbols from within the grammar, the `lpeg.V` function is used. Collecting the aforementioned rules into a grammar could look like this:

```lua
local rule = P("keyval",
    keyval =
        (V"name" * P":" * (V"quote" + V"name")
         * P"","^-1)^0,
    name =
        V"ws" * R("az", "AZ", "09")^-1 * V"ws",
    quote =
        V"ws" * P"" * (1 - P"")^-0 * P"",
         * V"ws",
    ws = S"\t\r\n"^0,
}
```

It becomes a little more verbose because names of non-terminal symbols have to be wrapped in `V"..."`. That is why I personally do not normally include general-purpose rules like the `ws` rule in the example into the grammar, because chances are high I want to use it elsewhere again. The level of verbosity might seem like a disadvantage but the encapsulation is much better this way. It also makes it much easier to define recursive rules, as we will see later.

8 Attributes

In the previous section we have parsed some inputs and confirmed their validity by a successful parse, receiving the length of the parsed input. An important question remains: how do we extract information from the input? When a parse is successful, the basic parsers synthesize the value they encountered, which I am going to call their `attributes`. These attributes can be extracted using LPEG’s capture operations.

The simplest capture operation is `lpeg.C(patt)` which simply returns the match of `patt`. Here we parse a sequence of only lowercase letters and print the result.

```lua
local rule = C(R"az"^-1)
print(rule:match"pizza") -- pizza
```

Another, very powerful, capture is the table capture `lpeg.Ct(patt)` which returns a table with all captures from `patt`. This allows us to write a simple parser for comma-separated values (CSV) in only three lines:

```lua
local cell = C((1 - P"," - P"n")^-0)
local row = Ct(cell * (P"," * cell)^0)
local csv = Ct(row * (P"n" * row)^0)
```
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The variable \( t \) now holds the table representing the CSV file and we can access the elements by \( t[<row>][<column>] \), e.g. to access the “e” in the middle of the table we can use \( t[2][2] \).

There are two more captures we need to see, the grouping capture and the folding capture. The grouping capture \( \text{lp} \text{eg}\text{.Cg}(patt [, name]) \) groups the values produced by \( patt \), optionally tagged with \( name \). The grouping capture is mostly used in conjunction with the folding capture \( \text{lp} \text{eg}\text{.Cf}(patt, func) \) which folds the captures from \( patt \) with the function \( func \). The most common application is parsing of key–value lists. The key and the value are captured independently at first but are then grouped together. Finally they are folded together with an empty table capture.

```lua
local key = C(R"az"^1)
local val = C(R"09"^1)
local kv = Cg(key * P":" * val) * P","^-1
local kvlist = Cf(Ct"" * kv^0, rawset)
kvlist:match"foo:1,bar:2"
```

## 9 More useful parsers

Now that we know how to parse input and extract data, we can start constructing parsers that are more useful. We will next write a parser for floating point numbers. The parser presented here has some limitations. It doesn’t handle an integer part that only contains a sign, i.e. \(-1\) will not parse. It also doesn’t handle hexadecimal, octal, or binary literals. (Consider these to be left as exercises to the reader.)

With these limitations in mind, let’s take a look at what floating point numbers look like:

```
integer part fractional part
+123 .45678 e-90
```

With that we formulate the first rule in our grammar, namely

```lua
number = (V"int" * V"frac"^-1 * V"exp"^-1) / tonumber,
```

i.e. a number has an integer part, followed by an optional fractional part, followed by an optional exponent. The apparent division by \( \text{tonumber} \) that we see here is called a semantic action. A semantic action is applied to the result of the parser \( ad\text{-}hoc \). In general it is a bad idea to use semantic actions, because they don’t fit into the concept of recursive parsing and introduce additional state to keep track of. Nevertheless there are some cases when semantic actions are useful, as in this case, where we know that what we just parsed is a number and we merely convert the resulting string into Lua’s number type.

Now let’s parse the integer part. Here I show all the rules that go into it at once.

```lua
int = V"sign"^-1 * (R"19" * V"digits" + V"digit"),
sign = S"+-",
digit = R"09",
digits = V"digit" * V"digits" + V"digit",
```

So the integer part is an optional sign, followed by a number between 1 and 9, followed by more digits or just a single digit. A sign is one of the characters \(+\) or \(-\). A single digit is just a number between 0 and 9. The \( digits \) rule is recursive, because many digits are either a single digit followed by more digits, or just that single digit.

Next is the fractional part, which is straightforward. It is just a period followed by digits.

```lua
frac = P"." * V"digits",
```

Last, the exponential part, which is also relatively simple. It is either a lower- or uppercase \( E \), followed by an optional sign, followed by digits.

```lua
exp = S"eE" * V"sign"^-1 * V"digits",
```

Now let’s check this parser with some test input. We expect the result to be the same number that we input and we expect it to be of Lua type \( \text{number} \).

```lua
local x = number:match("+123.45678e-90")
print(x .. " " .. type(x))
Output: 1.2345678e-88 number
```

The full code of the number parser is included in the JSON parser in the Appendix.

## 10 Complex data formats: JSON

JSON is short for JavaScript Object Notation and is a lightweight data format that is easy to read and write for both humans and machines. JSON knows six different data types of which two are collections. These are \text{null}, \text{bool}, \text{string}, \text{number}, \text{array}, and \text{object}. This maps nicely to Lua where \text{null} maps to \( \text{nil} \), \text{bool} maps to \text{boolean}, \text{string} and \text{number} map to their like-named counterparts, and \text{array} and \text{object} both map to Lua’s \text{table} type.

Finally, on the top level there is always an object. Here’s an example JSON file [5]:

```json
{"menu": {
   "id": "file",
```
Before we begin writing a parser for this, let’s introduce a few general purpose parsers first, which are also not part of the grammar.

```lua
local ws = S" \t\n\r"+0
```

This rule matches zero or more whitespace characters, where whitespace characters are space, tab, newline and carriage return.

```lua
local lit = function(str)
  return ws * P(str) * ws
end
```

This function returns a rule that matches a literal string surrounded by optional whitespace. This is useful to match keywords.

```lua
local attr = function(str,attr)
  return ws * P(str) / function()
    return attr
  end * ws
end
```

This function returns an extension of the previous rule, in that it matches a literal string and if it matched returns an attribute using a semantic action. This is very useful for parsing a string but returning something unrelated, e.g. the null value of JSON will be represented by Lua’s nil.

As mentioned before, at the top level a JSON file expects an object, so this will be the entry point:

```lua
local json = P("object",
```

As discussed before, JSON supports different kinds of values, so we want to map these in our parsing grammar.

```lua
value =
  V"null_value" +
  V"bool_value" +
  V"string_value" +
  V"number_value" +
  V"array" +
  V"object",
```

So, a value is any of the value types defined by the JSON format. Now we have to define what these values are and how to parse them. We begin with the easiest ones, the null and bool values:

```lua
null_value = attr("null", nil),
bool_value = attr("true", true)
  + attr("false", false),
```

These two types are defined entirely by keyword matching. We use the attr function to return a suitable Lua value. Next we define how to parse strings:

```lua
string_value = ws * P:""*
  * C((P\"\" + 1 - P\"\")\+0)
  * P\"\" * ws,
```

A string may be surrounded by whitespace and is enclosed in double quotes. Inside the double quotes we can use any character that is not the double quote, unless we escape it with a backslash, as in \\". The value of the string without surrounding quotes is captured. To parse number values, we will reuse the number parser defined in the previous section

```lua
number_value = ws * number * ws,
```

This concludes the parsing of all the simple data types. We move on to the aggregate types, starting with the array.

```lua
array = lit\["\
  * Ct((V"value" * lit","^-1)^0)
  * lit"],
```

An array is thus a comma-separated list of values, enclosed in square brackets. The list is captured as a Lua table. The final and most complicated type to parse is the object:

```lua
member_pair = Cg(V"string_value" * lit:""
  * V"value") * lit","^-1,
object = lit{""
  * Cf(Ct"" * V"member_pair"^-0, rawset)
  * lit}"
```

An object is a comma-separated list of key–value pairs enclosed in curly braces, where a key–value pair is a string, followed by a colon, followed by a value. To pack this into a Lua table, we use the grouping and folding captures mentioned above. This concludes the JSON grammar.

```lua
}
```

The full code of the parser is given in the Appendix with a little nicer formatting. Now we can go ahead and parse JSON files.

```lua
local result = json:match(input)
```

The variable result will hold a Lua table which can be indexed in a natural way. For example, if we had parsed the JSON example given in the beginning of this section, we could use
In this way, we could write configuration files for our document, parse them on-the-fly when firing up Lua-
\TeX, and configure the style and content according to the specifications.

11 Summary and outlook

Parsing even complex data formats like JSON is relatively easy using LPEG. A possible next step would be to parse the Lua-
\TeX input file in the \texttt{process_input_buffer} callback and replace templates in the file with values from JSON.
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Appendix: Full code listing of JSON parser

```lua
local lpeg = require"lpeg"

-- number parsing
local number = P{"number",
    number = (V\"int\" * V\"frac\"^-1 * V\"exp\"^-1)
        / tonumber,
    int = V\"sign\"^-1 * (R\"19\" * V\"digits\"
        + V\"digit\"),
    sign = S\"+-",
    digit = R\"09",
    digits = V\"digit\" * V\"digits\" + V\"digit\",
    frac = P\".\" * V\"digits\",
    exp = S\"eE\" * V\"sign\"^-1 * V\"digits\",
}
```

-- optional whitespace
local ws = S\" \t\n\r\"^-0

-- match literal string surrounded by whitespace
local lit = function(str)
    return ws * P(str) * ws
end

-- match literal string and synthesize
-- an attribute
local attr = function(str,attr)
    return ws * P(str) /
        function() return attr end * ws
end

-- JSON grammar
local json = P{
    \"object\",
    value =
        V\"null_value\" +
        V\"bool_value\" +
        V\"string_value\" +
        V\"number_value\" +
        V\"array\" +
        V\"object\",
    null_value =
        attr("null", nil),
    bool_value =
        attr("true", true) + attr("false", false),
    string_value =
        ws * P\"\" * C((P\"\" + 1 - P\"\")^-0)
            * P\"\" * ws,
    number_value =
        ws * number * ws,
    array =
        lit\"[\" * Cf((V\"value\" * lit\",\"^-1)^0)
            * lit\"]\",
    member_pair =
        Cg(V\"string_value\" * lit\":\" * V\"value\")
            * lit\",\"^-1,
    object =
        lit\{"\
            * Cf(Ct\"" * V\"member_pair\"^-0, rawset)
            * lit\"\"
        }
}
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