## Software

Answers to<br>Exercises for $T_{E} X$ ：The Program

Donald E．Knuth

Editor＇s note：The exercises apropos to these an－ swers were printed in TUGboat 11，no．2，pp．165－ 170.

1．According to the index，initialize is declared in $\S 4$ ．It is preceded there by 〈Global variables 13〉， and $\S 13$ tells us that the final global variable appears in $\S 1345$ ．Turning to $\S 1345$ ，we find ＇write＿loc：pointer；＇and a comment．The comment doesn＇t get into the Pascal code．The mini－index at the bottom of page 535 tells us that＇pointer＇is a macro defined in $\S 115$ ．Our quest is nearly over， since $\S 115$ says that pointer expands to halfword，
which is part of the Pascal program．Page ix tells us that lowercase letters of a WEB program become uppercase in the corresponding Pascal code； page x tells us that the underline in＇write＿loc＇is discarded．Therefore we conclude that＇PROCEDURE INITIALIZE＇is immediately preceded in the Pascal program by＇WRITELOC：HALFWORD；＇．

But this isn＇t quite correct！The book doesn＇t tell the whole story．If we actually run TANGLE on TEX．WEB（without a change file），we find that ＇PROCEDURE INITIALIZE＇is actually preceded by
\｛1345：\}WRITELOC:HALFWORD; \{: 1345\}
because TANGLE inserts comments to show the origin of each block of code．

2．The index tells us that done5 and done6 are never used．（They are included only for people who have to make system－dependent changes and／or extensions．）

3．Here we change the input＿ln procedure of $\S 31$ ． One way is to replace the statements＇buffer $[$ last $] \leftarrow$ $\operatorname{xord}[f \uparrow] ; \operatorname{get}(f)^{\prime}$ by the following：

```
if ord (f\uparrow)='33 then
    begin get (f);
    if (ord (f\uparrow)\geq"@") ^(ord (f\uparrow)\leq"_") then
        begin buffer[last]}\leftarrow\operatorname{xord[\operatorname{chr}(\operatorname{ord}(f\uparrow)-'100)]; get (f);
        end
    else buffer[last]}\leftarrow\mathrm{ invalid_code;
    end
else begin buffer[last]}\leftarrow\operatorname{xord}[f\uparrow];\operatorname{get}(f)
    end;
```

4．The new string essentially substitutes＂quar－ ters＂$q$（of value 25 ）for＂dimes＂$x$（of value 10 ）． Playing through the code of $\S 69$ tells us that 69 is now represented by lvvviv and 9999 is mrammmmmmcmqcvqiv．（The first nine m＇s make 9000 ； then cm makes 900 ；then qc makes 75 ；then vq makes 20；and iv makes the remaining 4．）

5．Because it may be decreased by 1 in $\S 1293$ before being increased by 1 in $\S 82$ ．（The code in $\S 1293$ decreases error＿count because＂showing＂ uses the error subroutine although it isn＇t really an error．）

6．The q becomes Q in $\S 83$ ．This causes $\S 86$ to print＇ OK ，entering \batchmode＇，after which selector is decreased so that＇．．．＇and 〈return〉 are not printed on the terminal！（They appear only in the $\log$ file，if it has been opened．）This is TEX＇s way of confirming that lbatchmode has indeed been entered．

7．（a）Arithmetic overflow might occur when computing $t * 297$ ，because $7230585 \times 297=2^{31}+97$ ．
（b）Some sort of test is need to avoid division by
zero when $0<s<297$ ．If $s<1663497$ then $s$ div $297<5601$ ，and $7230585 / 5600$ is a bit larger than 1291 so we will have $r>1290$ in such a case．The threshold value has therefore been chosen to save division whenever possible．（One student suggested that the statement＇$r \leftarrow t$＇be replaced by＇$r \leftarrow 1291$＇．That might or might not be faster，depending on the computer and the Pascal compiler．In machine language one would ＇goto＇the statement that sets badness $\leftarrow$ inf＿bad， but that is inadmissible Pascal．）（c）If we get to $\S 128$ with $r=p+1$ ，we will try to make a node of size 1 ，but then there＇s no room for the node＿size field．（d）If we get to $\S 129$ with only one node available，we＇ll lose everything and rover will be invalid．（Older versions of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ have a more complicated test in $\S 127$ ，which would suppress going to $\S 129$ if there were two nodes available． That was unnecessarily cautious．）（e）This is a subtle one．The lower part of memory must not be allowed to grow so large that a node＿size value could ever exceed max＿halfword when nodes are being merged together in $\S 127$ ．
8. We assume that min_quarterword $=$ min_halfword $=0$.

9. (Norwegian Americans will recognize this as an 'Uff da' joke.) The output of short_display is

## \large Uff []

since short_display shows the pre-break and postbreak parts of a discretionary (but not the replacement text). However, if this box were output by hlist_out, the discretionary break would not be effective; the result would be a box 100 pt wide, beginning with a large '!' and ending with a small 'da', the latter being raised 5 pt and underlined with a 0.5 pt-rule.
10. Since prev_depth is initially ignore_depth, we get
\#\#\# vertical mode entered at line 1
( ${ }^{\text {(output }}$ routine)
prevdepth -999.99998, prevgraf 1 line
11. According to $\S 236$, int_base +17 is where mag is stored. (One of the definitions suppressed by an ellipsis on page 101 is $m a g$; you can verify this by checking the index!) The initial value of mag is set in §240. Hence show_eqtb branches to §242 and prints ' $\backslash \mathrm{mag}=1000$ '.
12. In the following chart, '(3)' means a value at level three, and '-' is a level boundary:

9


The final value is therefore $\backslash \mathrm{day}=8$.
13. (reference count), match !, match \#, left_brace
[, end_match, left_brace \{, mac_param \#, right_brace ], mac_param !, out_param 2, left_brace [. Notice that the left_brace before the end_match is repeated at the end of the replacement text, because it has been matched (and therefore removed from the input).
14. According to $\S 233$, show_eqtb (every_par_loc) calls show_token_list with the limit $l=32$. According to $\S 292$, we want the token list to contain a token that prints as many characters as possible
when tally $=31$; the value of tally is increased on every call to print_char ( $(58)$ ). By studying the cases in §294, we conclude that the worst case occurs when a mac_param is printed, and when the character $c$ actually prints as three characters. The statement 'print_esc("ETC.")' in $\S 292$ will print seven additional characters if the current escape_char is another tripler. (Longer examples are possible only if $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ has a bug that tweaks one of the outputs '\CLOBBERED.' or '\BAD.' in $\S 293$; but this can't happen.)

In other words, a worst-case example such as

```
\escapechar='\"`M \catcode'\"`I=6
\everypar{1234567890123456789012345678901^^Ietc.}
```

in connection with the suggested test line will print

$$
\text { \{restoring "-Meverypar=1234567890123456789012345678901~^I^^I^"METC.\} }
$$

thereby proving that 44 characters can be printed by show_eqtb (every_par_loc).
15. Here we must look at the get_next procedure, which scans the buffer in strange ways when two identical characters of category 7 (sup_mark) are found. After the \catcode of open-quote has been set to 7 , get_next begins to scan a control sequence in $\S 354$, which goes to $\S 355$ and finds a space after ' $'$. Since a space is code ' 40 , it is changed to ' 140 , and the buffer contents are shifted left 2. By strange coincidence, '140 is again an open-quote character, so we get back to $\S 355$, which changes " ( to h and goes back to start_cs a third time. Now we go to $\S 356$ and then back to $\S 355$ and start_cs, having changed ' ') to i. The fourth round, similarly, changes '" to a blank space, and the fifth round finishes the control sequence.

If we try to input the stated line, INITEX will come to a halt as follows:

```
! Undefined control sequence.
<*> \catcode";=7 \hi
```


## ! \error

This proves that the buffer now says \hi !.
16. The error message in question is

```
! Undefined control sequence.
<*> \endlinechar='! \error
```

- ${ }^{-M}$
and our job is to explain the appearance of ${ }^{\sim} \mathrm{M}$. The standard \endlinechar is carriage_return, according to $\S 240$; this is ' 15 according to $\S 22$, and ' 15 is " M in ASCII code. Thus, a carriage_return is normally placed at the end of each line when it's read into the buffer (see §360). This carriage_return is not usually printed in an error message, because
it equals the end_line_char (see §318). We see it now because end_line_char has changed.

Incidentally, if the input line had been
\endlinechar='! \error
(without the space after the !), we wouldn't have seen the ${ }^{\sim}$ M. Why not? Because TEX calls get_next when looking for the optional space after the ASCII constant ' $!$ (see $\S 442-443$ ), hence the undefined control sequence \error is encountered before end_line_char has been changed!
17. One problem is to figure out which control sequence is undefined; it seems to be the '?', since this character has been made active. One clue is to observe from $\S 312$ and $\S 314$ that '<recently read>' can be printed only when base_ptr $=$ input_ptr, state $=$ token_list, token_type $=$ backed_up, and $l o c=$ null. A token list of type backed_up usually contains only a single item; in that case, the control sequence name must be 'How did this happen?', and we have a problem getting an active character into a control sequence name.

But an arbitrarily long token list of type backed_up can be created with the \lowercase operation (see §1288). In that case, however, the right brace that closes \lowercase is almost always still present in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's input state, and it would show up on the error message. (The back_list procedure of $\S 323$ does not clear a completed token list off of the stack.) We have to make $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ clear off its stack before the $\}$ is scanned.

At this point the exercise begins to resemble "retrograde chess" problems. Here is one solution; since it requires a very long input line, it has been broken into a three-line answer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \def \answer\{\let~\expandafter\lccode' }!={ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \% \text { [line has been broken] }
\end{aligned}
$$

~h~a~p~p~e~n~?\}\}
(The ' H ' is a lowercase '!'; a chain of \expandafter s is used to make the right brace disappear from the stack.)

Another approach uses \csname, and manufactures a ? from a !:

```
\def\answer{\def\a##1{{\global\let##1?\aftergroup##1}}%[broken]
    \escapechar'H\lccoder!|/'? % [broken]
    \lowercase{\expandafter\a\csname ow did this happen!\endcsname}}
```

But there is a (devious) one-line solution, which makes the invisible carriage_return following \answer into a right brace:

```
\def\answer{\catcode13=2\lccode'!=H\lowercase\bgroup!ow did this happen?}
```

18. (The answer to this problem was much more difficult to explain in class than I had thought it would be, so I guess it was also much more difficult for the students to solve than I had thought it would be. After my first attempt to explain the answer, I decided to make up a special version of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ that would help to clarify the scanning routines. This special program, called DemoTEX, is just like ordinary $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ except that if \tracingstats>2 the user is able to watch $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's syntax routines in slow motion. The changes that convert $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ to DemoTEX are explained in the appendix below. Given DemoTEX, we tried a lot of simple examples of things like ' $\backslash \mathrm{hfuzz=1.5pt}$ ' and '\catcode' $a=11$ ' before plunging into exercise 18 in which everything happens at once. While we were discussing input stacks, by the way, we found it helpful to consider the behavior of $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ on the following input:
```
\output{\botmark}
\def\a{\error}
\mark{
    \everyvbox{
        \everypar{
            \everydisplay{
                \everyhbox{
                \everymath{\noexpand\a}
                $\relax}
            \hbox\bgroup\relax}
        $$\relax}
        \noindent\relax}
    \vbox\bgroup\relax}
\hbox{}\vfill\penalty-10000
```

Here \penalty triggers \botmark, which defines \everyvbox and begins a \vbox, which defines leverypar and begins a \par, which defines \everydisplay and begins a \display, etc.)

The first line is essentially
\gdef $\backslash a \# 1 d \# 2 \# 3\{\# 2\}$
where the second ' d ' has catcode 12 (other_char). Hence the second $d$ will match a $d$ that is generated by \romannumeral. In this line, scan_int is called only to scan the ' d and the 12 .

The second line calls scan_dimen in order to evaluate the right-hand side of the assignment to \hfuzz. After scan_dimen has used scan_int to read the ' 100 ', it calls scan_keyword in order to figure out the units. But before the units are known
to be 'pt' or 'pc', an \ifdim must be expanded. Here we need to call scan_dimen recursively, twice; it finds the value 12 pt on the left-hand side, and is interrupted again while scan_keyword is trying to figure out the units on the right-hand side. Now a chain of \expandafters causes \romannumeral 888 to be expanded into dccclxxxviii, and then we have to parse la dccclxxxviii. Here \#1 will be \else, \#2 and \#3 will each be c; the expansion therefore reduces to cclxxxviii\relax\fi. The first ' $c$ ' completes the second ' $P C$ ', and the \ifdim test is true. Therefore the second ' $c$ ' can complete the first ' Pc ', and $\backslash \mathrm{hfuzz}$ is set equal to 1200 pt . The characters lxxxviii now begin a paragraph. The \fi takes the \ifdim out of TEX's condition stack.
(The appendix below gives further information. Examples like this give some glimmering of the weird maneuvers that can be found in the TRIP test, an intricate pattern of unlikely code that is used to validate all implementations of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$.)
19. If, for example, \thickmuskip has the value 5mu plus 5mu that plain $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ gives it, the first command changes its value to -5 mu plus -5 mu , because scan_glue in $\S 461$ will call scan_something_internal with the second argument true; this will cause all three components of the glue to be negated (see §431).

The second command, on the other hand, tells TEX to expand ' $\backslash$ the $\backslash$ thickmuskip' into a sequence of characters, so it is equivalent to

## \thickmuskip=-5mu plus 5mu

(The minus sign doesn't carry into the stretch component of glue, since $\S 461$ applies negate only to the first dimension found.)

This problem points out a well-known danger that is present in any text-macro-expanding system.
20. We'd have a funny result that two macro texts would be considered to match by \ifx unless the first one (the one starting at $q$ when we begin $\S 508$ ) is a proper prefix of the second. (Notice the statement ' $p \leftarrow$ null' inside the while loop.)
21. Because the byte in dvi_buf[dvi_ptr -1 ] is usually not an operation code, and it just might happen to equal push.
22. $2_{y} 7_{d} 1_{d} 8_{z} 2_{y} 8_{z} 1_{d} 8_{z} 2_{y} 8_{z} 4_{y} 5_{z} 9_{d} 0_{d} 4_{y} 5_{z}$.
23. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{EX}}$ is in 'no mode' only while processing \write statements, and the mode is printed during $\backslash$ write only when tracing_commands $>1$ during expand. We might think that \catcode operations are necessary, so that the left and right braces for \write exist; but it's possible to let TEX's error-recovery mechanism supply them! Therefore the shortest program that meets the requirements is probably the following one based on an idea due to Ronaldo Amá, who suggests putting
\batchmode\tracingcommands2
\immediate\write! \nomode
into a file. (Seven tokens total.)
24. When error calls get_token, because the user has asked for tokens to be deleted (see $\S 88$ ), a second level of error is possible, but further deletions are
disallowed (see $\S 336$ and $\S 346$ ). However, insertions are still allowed, and this can lead to a third level of error when overflow calls succumb.

For example, let's assume that max_in_open $=$ 6. Then you can type ' catcode' $?=15 \backslash x$ ' and respond to the undefined control sequence error by saying ' $i \backslash x$ ??' six times. This leads to a call of error in which six '<insert>' levels appear; hence in_open $=6$, and one more insertion will be the last straw. At this point, type ' 1 '; this enters error at a second level, from which ' $i$ ' will enter error a third time. (The run-time stack now has main_control calling get_x_token calling expand calling error calling get_token calling get_next calling error calling begin_file_reading calling overflow calling error.)
25. In $\S 38$, define str_number to be the same as pool_pointer, and define str_end $=128$. In $\S 39$, delete the declaration of str_start. In $\S 40$, declare

```
function length(s : str_number): integer;
    var t: pool_pointer;
    begin }t\leftarrows\mathrm{ ;
    while str_pool [t] \not= str_end do incr (t);
    length}\leftarrowt-s
    end;
```

In §41, define cur_length $\equiv$ (pool_ptr - str_ptr). In $\S 43$, declare

```
function make_string: str_number; {current string enters the pool}
    var t: str_number; { the result}
    begin str_room(1); append(str_end);
    t}\leftarrow\mathrm{ str_ptr; str_ptr }\leftarrowpool_ptr; make_string \leftarrowt;
    end;
```

In $\S 44$, we can

```
    define flush_string \(\equiv\) begin repeat decr (str_ptr);
        until str_pool[str_ptr-1] =str_end;
        pool_ptr \(\leftarrow\) str_ptr;
            end
```

The comparison function in $\S 45$ is used only in §259, where we can replace
'if length $(\operatorname{text}(p))=l$ then if $\operatorname{str}_{-} e q_{-} b u f(\operatorname{text}(p), j)$ ' by 'if str_eq_buf $(\operatorname{text}(p), j, l)$ '. The function now has three parameters:

```
function str_eq_buf ( \(s:\) str_number; \(k, l:\) integer \()\) : boolean;
            \{ test equality of strings \}
    label exit;
    var \(j\) : pool_pointer; \{running index \}
    begin \(j \leftarrow s ; s \leftarrow s+l\);
    if str_pool \([s] \neq\) str_end then str_eq_buf \(\leftarrow\) false
    else begin while \(j<s\) do
        begin if str_pool \([j] \neq\) buffer \([k]\) then
                begin str_eq_buf \(\leftarrow\) false; return; end;
            \(\operatorname{incr}(j)\); incr \((k)\);
            end;
        str_eq_buf \(\leftarrow t r u e\);
        end;
exit: end;
```

The procedure of $\S 46$ is modified in an obvious, similar way.

The first three statements of $\S 47$ become just two: 'pool_ptr $\leftarrow 128$; str_ptr $\leftarrow 128$ '. The body of the for loop in $\S 48$ becomes just
if ( $\langle$ Character $k$ cannot be printed 49$\rangle$ ) then if $k<' 100$ then str_pool $[k] \leftarrow k+' 100$ else str_pool $[k] \leftarrow k-{ }^{\prime} 100$
else str_pool $[k] \leftarrow k$
In $\S 59$, variable $j$ is no longer needed. If $0 \leq s<128$ and if $s$ isn't the current new-line character, we now say

```
begin if str_pool \([s] \neq s\) then
        begin print_char("~"); print_char("~");
        end;
    print_char (str_pool[s]);
    end
```

In the other case, where $s \geq 128$, we say

```
while \(s t r_{-} p o o l[s] \neq s t r_{-} e n d\) do
        begin print_char(str_pool[s]); incr(s);
        end
```

In $\S 407$, similarly, variable $k$ is eliminated; the loop on $k$ becomes a loop on $s$, while str_pool $[s] \neq$ str_end.

In $\S 464$, replace the two occurrences of 'str_start [str_ptr]' by 'str_ptr'.

The first loop in $\S 603$ becomes

```
k}\leftarrowfont_area[f]
while str_pool [k]\not= str_end do
    begin dvi_out(str_pool[k]); incr (k);
    end
```

and the second is like unto it.
26. Let's assume that we have a machine in which str_pool is addressed by byte number, so that 8 bit values take no more space than 7 -bit values. Method (a) requires us to impose a limit on the length of strings: 255 characters max. This isn't
unreasonable, because the only important use of longer strings is in the implementation of \special, when the restriction doesn't actually apply (since $\S 1368$ doesn't call make_string). But method (a) saves no space and little or no time by comparison with the simpler method of problem 25. Problem 25 saves about one byte per string, compared to the text's way. Method (b) saves another byte per string but at the expense of considerable programming complexity; it requires awkward special-casing to deal with empty strings.
27. We'd replace 'width $(g)$ ' by

$$
\text { width }(g)+\text { shift_amount }(g)
$$

(twice). Similar changes would be needed in $\S 656$. (But a box shouldn't be able to retain its shift_amount; this quantity is a property of the list the box is in, not a property of the box itself.)
28. The final line has infinite stretchability, since plain TEX sets \parfillskip=0pt plus 1fil. Reports of loose, tight, underfull, or overfull boxes are never made unless $o=$ normal in $\S 658$ and $\S 664$.
29. If a vbox is repackaged as an hbox, we get really weird results because things that were supposed to stack up vertically are placed together horizontally. The second change would be a lot less visible, except in characters like $V$ where there is a large italic correction; the character would be centered without taking its italic correction into account. (The italic correction in math mode is the difference between horizontal placement of superscripts and subscripts in formulas like $V_{2}^{2}$.)
30. The spacing can be found by saying
$\$ \mathrm{x}==1 \$ \$ \mathrm{x}++1 \$ \$ \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i} \$$ \tracingall$\backslash$ showlists. Most of the decisions are made in $\S 766$, using the spacing table of $\S 764$. But the situation is trickier in the case of +, because a bin_noad must be preceded and followed by a noad of a suitable class. In
the formula $\$ x++1 \$$, the second + is changed from bin_noad to ord_noad in $\S 728$. It turns out that thick spaces are inserted after the $x$ and before the 1 in ' $x==1$ '; medium spaces are inserted before each $+\operatorname{sign}$ in ' $x++1$ '; thin spaces are inserted after each comma in ' $x, 1$ '.
31. The behavior of the simpler algorithm, which we may call Brand $X$, can be deduced from the demerits values ('d=') in the trace output. There is only one reasonable choice, @@1, for the first line;
and there's only one, @@2, for the second. But for the third, a line from $@ @ 2$ to @@3 (the break after 'para-') has 46725 demerits, which certainly looks worse than the 1225 demerits from $@ @ 2$ to @@4. This, however, leads Brand X into a trap, since there's no good way to continue from @@4. Similarly, Brand X will choose to go from @@7 to @@9, and this forces it to @@11 and then infelicitously to @@13 (because the syllable 'break-' is too long to be squeezed in). The resulting paragraph, as typeset by Brand X, looks like this (awful):
> 31. When your instructor made up this problem, he said '\tracingparagraphs=1' so that his transcript file would explain why $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ has broken the paragraph into lines in a particular way. He also said ' pretolerance $=-1$ ' so that hyphenation would be tried immediately. The output is shown on the next page; use it to determine what line breaks would have been found by a simpler algorithm that breaks one line at a time. (The simpler algorithm finds the breakpoint that yields fewest demerits on the first line, then chooses it and starts over again.)
32. (This exercise takes awhile, but the data structures are especially interesting; the hyphenation algorithm is a nice little part of the program that can be studied in isolation.) The following tables are constructed:

|  | op | char | link |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| trie $[96]$ | 0 | 96 | 1 |
| trie 97$]$ | 0 | 97 | 5 |
| trie $[98]$ | 0 | 97 | 2 |
| trie 100$]$ | 1 | 98 | 3 |
| trie $[102]$ | 1 | 99 | 4 |
| trie $[103]$ | 0 | 98 | 6 |
| trie $[105]$ | 3 | 99 | 4 |
|  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ |
| hyf_distance | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| hyf_num | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| hyf_next | 0 | 0 | 2 |

〈Cases of main_control that build boxes and lists 1056$\rangle+\equiv$
non_math (left_brace): begin saved $(0) \leftarrow$ line; incr (save_ptr); new_save_level(simple_group);
end; \{the line number is saved for possible use in warning message \}
any_mode (begin_group): begin saved $(0) \leftarrow$ line; incr (save_ptr); new_save_level(semi_simple_group); end;
any_mode (end_group): if cur_group $=$ semi_simple_group then
begin unsave; decr (save_ptr); \{pop unused line number from stack \}
end
else off_save;

Given the word aabcd, it is interesting to watch $\S 923$ produce the hyphenation numbers ' $0 \mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{3}$ ' from this trie.
33. The idea is to keep line numbers on the save stack. Scott Douglass has observed that, although $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is careful to keep cur_boundary up to date, nothing important is ever done with it; hence the save_index field in level-boundary words is not needed, and we have an extra halfword to play with! (The present data structure has fossilized elements left over from old incarnations of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$.) However, line numbers might get larger than a halfword; it seems better to store them as fullword integers.

This problem requires changes to three parts of the program. First, we can extend $\S 1063$ as follows:

A similar change is needed in $\S 1068$, where the first case becomes

```
simple_group: begin unsave; decr(save_ptr); {pop unused line number from stack }
    end;
```

Finally, we replace lines $6-11$ of $\S 1335$ by code for the desired messages:

```
while cur_level > level_one do
    begin print_nl("("); print_esc("end_occurred_when_");
    case cur_group of
    simple_group: print_char("{");
    semi_simple_group: print_esc("begingroup");
    othercases confusion("endgroup")
    endcases;
    print("\sqcup\circ\mp@subsup{п}{\llcornerline}{\llcorner}"); unsave; decr(save_ptr); print_int(saved(0)); print("чwas_incomplete)");
    end;
while cond_ptr }\not=\mathrm{ null do
    begin print_nl("("); print_esc("end_occurred_when 
```

34. First, $\S 2$ gets a new paragraph explaining what

TEXX is, and the banner line changes:

Then we add two new definitions in $\S 134$ :
define is_xchar_node $(\#) \equiv($ font $(\#)=$ font_base $) \quad\{$ is this char_node extended? $\}$
define bypass_xchar $(\#) \equiv$

$$
\text { if is_xchar_node }(\#) \text { then } \# \leftarrow \operatorname{link}(\#)
$$

(It's necessary to say font_base here instead of null_font, because null_font isn't defined until later.)

The short_display routine of $\S 174$ can treat an \xchar like an ordinary character, because print_ASCII makes no restrictions. Here is one way to handle the change:

```
procedure short_display ( \(p:\) integer \() ; \quad\{\) prints highlights of list \(p\}\)
    label done;
    var \(n\) : integer; \{ for replacement counts \}
        ext: integer; \{ amount added to character code by xchar \}
    begin ext \(\leftarrow 0\);
    while \(p>\) mem_min do
        begin if is_char_node \((p)\) then
            begin if \(p \leq m e m_{-} e n d\) then
                begin if is_xchar_node \((p)\) then
                begin ext \(\leftarrow 256 *(q o(\) character \((p)))\); goto done;
                end;
            if font \((p) \neq\) font_in_short_display then
                begin if \((\) font \((p)<\) font_base \() \vee(\) font \((p)>f o n t-m a x)\) then print_char \((" * *)\)
                else (Print the font identifier for font \((p) 267\) );
                print_char("ப"); font_in_short_display \(\leftarrow\) font \((p)\);
                    end;
```

```
                print_ASCII (ext + qo(character (p))); ext \leftarrow0;
                end;
            end
    else <Print a short indication of the contents of node p 175>;
done: p\leftarrowlink(p);
    end;
end;
```

A somewhat similar change applies in $\S 176$ :

```
procedure print_font_and_char ( \(p\) : integer); \{prints char_node data \}
    label reswitch;
    var ext: integer; \{amount added to character code by xchar, or -1 \}
    begin ext \(\leftarrow-1\);
reswitch: if \(p>\) mem_end then print_esc("CLOBBERED.")
    else begin if is_xchar_node \((p)\) then
            begin ext \(\leftarrow q 0(\) character \((p)) ; p \leftarrow \operatorname{link}(p)\); goto reswitch; end;
        if \((\) font \((p)<\) font_base \() \vee(\) font \((p)>\) font_max \()\) then print_char \((" * ")\)
        else (Print the font identifier for font \((p) 267\) );
        print_char("ப");
        if ext \(<0\) then print_ASCII \((q o(\operatorname{character}(p)))\)
        else begin print_esc("xchar"); print_hex \((\) ext \(* 256+q o(\operatorname{character}(p)))\);
            end;
        end;
    end;
```

(These routines must be extra-robust.) The first line of code in $\S 183$ now becomes

```
if is_char_node ( }p\mathrm{ ) then
    begin print_font_and_char(p);
    bypass_xchar(p);
    end
```

In $\S 208$ we introduce a new operation code,
define $x$ char_num $=17$
$\{$ extended character ( $\backslash x \operatorname{char}$ ) $\}$

Every opcode that follows it in $\S 208$ and $\S 209$, from math_char_num to max_command, must be increased by 1 . We also add the following lines to $\S 265$ and $\S 266$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { primitive("xchar", xchar_num, } 0) \text {; } \\
& \text { xchar_num: print_esc("xchar"); }
\end{aligned}
$$

This puts the new command into TEXX's repertoire.
The next thing we need to worry about is what to do when lxchar occurs in the input. It's convenient to add a companion procedure to scan_char_num in §435:

```
procedure scan_xchar_num;
    begin scan_int;
    if \((\) cur_val < 0 \() \vee(\) cur_val \(>65535)\) then
        begin print_err ("Bad」character」code");
```




```
        end;
    end;
```

Similarly, new_character gets a companion in $\S 582$ :

```
function new_xchar( \(f\) : internal_font_number; \(c:\) integer \()\) : pointer;
    var \(p, q\) : pointer; \{newly allocated nodes \}
    begin \(q \leftarrow\) new_character \((f, c \bmod 256)\);
    if \(q=\) null then new_xchar \(\leftarrow\) null
    else begin \(p \leftarrow\) get_avail; font \((p) \leftarrow\) font_base; character \((p) \leftarrow q i((c \operatorname{div} 256)) ; \operatorname{link}(p) \leftarrow q\);
        new_xchar \(\leftarrow p\);
        end;
    end;
```

Extended characters can be output properly if we replace the opening lines of the code in $\S 620$ by these:

```
reswitch: if is_char_node \((p)\) then
        begin synch_h; synch_v;
        repeat if is_xchar_node \((p)\) then
            begin \(f \leftarrow\) font \((\operatorname{link}(p))\);
            if \(\operatorname{character}(p)=q i(0)\) then \(p \leftarrow \operatorname{link}(p) ; \quad\{\) bypass zero extension \(\}\)
            end
        else \(f \leftarrow\) font \((p)\);
        \(c \leftarrow \operatorname{character}(p)\);
        if \(f \neq d v i_{-} f\) then \(\left\langle\right.\) Change font \(d v i_{-} f\) to \(\left.f 621\right\rangle\);
        if is_xchar_node \((p)\) then
            begin dvi_out \((\operatorname{set} 1+1) ;\) dvi_out \((q o(c)) ; p \leftarrow \operatorname{link}(p) ; c \leftarrow \operatorname{character}(p)\);
            end
        else if \(c \geq q i(128)\) then dvi_out (set1);
        dvi_out (qo(c));
```

Many of the processing routines include a statement of the form ' $f \leftarrow$ font $(\#)$ ', which we want to do only after bypassing the first half of an extended character. This can be done by inserting the following statements:

| bypass_xchar $(p)$ | in $\S 654 ;$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| bypass_xchar $(s)$ | in $\S 842 ;$ |
| bypass_xchar $($ cur_p $)$ | in $\S 867 ;$ |
| bypass_xchar $(s)$ | in $\S 871 ;$ |
| bypass_xchar $(p)$ | in $\S 1147$. |

In $\oint 841$ we need to do a little more than a simple bypass:

```
if is_char_node(v) then
    begin if is_xchar_node(v) then
            begin v}\leftarrow\operatorname{link}(v);\operatorname{decr}(t)
            { an xchar counts as two chars }
            end;
```

Two changes are needed in order to suppress hyphenation in words that contain extended characters. First we insert
if $h f=$ font_base then goto done1;
\{is_xchar_node (s) \}
after the third line of $\S 896$. Then we replace 'endcases;' in $\S 899$ by

## endcases

else if is_xchar_node(s) then goto done1;
If \xchar appears in math mode, we want to recover from the error by including mmode + xchar_num in the list of cases in §1046. If $\backslash x$ char appears in vertical mode, we want to begin a paragraph by including vmode $+x$ char_num in the second list of cases in $\$ 1090$.

But what if \xchar appears in horizontal mode? To handle this, we might as well rewrite §1122:

1122．We need only two more things to complete the horizontal mode routines，namely the $\backslash x c h a r$ and \accent primitives．

```
〈Cases of main_control that build boxes and lists 1056〉 \(+\equiv\)
hmode + xchar_num: begin scan_xchar_num; link (tail) \(\leftarrow\) new_xchar (cur_font, cur_val);
    if \(\operatorname{link}(\) tail \() \neq\) null then tail \(\leftarrow \operatorname{link}(\operatorname{link}(\) tail \())\);
    space_factor \(\leftarrow 1000\);
    end;
hmode + accent: make_accent;
```

Finally，we need to extend make＿accent so that extended characters can be accented．（Problem 34 didn＇t call for this explicitly，but $\mathrm{TEXX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ should surely do it．）This means adding a new case in §1124：

```
else if cur_cmd=xchar_num then
    begin scan_xchar_num; q}\leftarrownew_xchar (f,cur_val);
    end
```

and making changes at the beginning and end of §1125：

```
〈Append the accent with appropriate kerns, then set \(p \leftarrow q 1125\rangle \equiv\)
    begin \(t \leftarrow \operatorname{slant}(f) /\) float_constant \((65536)\);
    if is_xchar_node \((q)\) then \(i \leftarrow\) char_info \((f)(\) character \((\operatorname{link}(q)))\)
    else \(i \leftarrow\) char_info \((f)(\) character \((q))\);
    \(w \leftarrow\) char_width \((f)(i)\);
        \(\vdots\)
    subtype \((\) tail \() \leftarrow a c c \_k e r n ; \operatorname{link}(p) \leftarrow\) tail \(;\)
    if is_xchar_node \((q)\) then \(\{\) in this case we want to bypass the xchar part \}
        begin tail_append \((q) ; p \leftarrow \operatorname{link}(q)\);
        end
    else \(p \leftarrow q\);
    end
```

35．The main reason for preferring the method of problem 34 is that the italic correction operation （ $\$ 1113$ ）would be extremely difficult with the other scheme．Other advantages are：（a）Division by 256 is needed only once；TEXX＇s main loops remain fast．（b）Comparatively few changes from TEX itself are needed，hence other ripoffs of TEX can easily incorporate the same ideas．（c）Since fonts don＇t need to be segregated into＇oriental＇and ＇occidental＇，\xchar has wide applicability．For example，it gives users a way to suppress ligatures and kerns；it allows large fonts to have efficient 256－character subsets of commonly－used characters． （d）The conventions of TEXX match those of the GF files produced by METAFONT．

The only disadvantage of the $\mathrm{TE}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{XX}$ method is that it requires all characters whose codes differ by multiples of 256 to have the same box size．But this is a minor consideration．

## Appendix

The solution to problem 18 refers to a special version of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ called Demo $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ ，which allows users to see more details of the scanning process．DemoTEX is formed by making a few changes to parts $24-26$ of TEX．

First，in $\S 341$ ，the following code is placed between＇exit：＇and＇end＇：

```
if tracing_stats \(>2\) then
        begin \(k \leftarrow\) trace_depth; print_nl("");
        while \(k>0\) do
            begin \(\operatorname{print}(\) " U "); \(\operatorname{decr}(k)\);
            end;
        print("|"); print_char(" \(\mathbf{u}^{\prime \prime}\) );
        if cur_cs \(>0\) then
            begin print_cs(cur_cs);
            print_char("=");
            end;
        print_cmd_chr (cur_cmd, cur_chr);
        end;
```

(A new global variable, trace_depth, is declared somewhere and initialized to zero. It is used to indent the output of DemoTEX so that the depth of subroutine nesting is displayed.)

At the beginning of expand (in §366), we put the statements

```
incr(trace_depth);
if tracing_stats > 2 then print("८<x");
```

this prints ' $<\mathrm{x}$ ' when expand begins to expand something. The same statements are inserted at the beginning of scan_int ( $\$ 400$ ), scan_dimen ( $\S 448$ ), and scan_glue (sec461), except that scan_int prints '<i', scan_dimen prints '<d', and scan_glue prints '<g'. (Get it?) We also insert complementary code at the end of each of these procedures:

```
decr(trace_depth);
if tracing_stats \(>2\) then print_char(">");
```

this makes it clear when each part of the scanner has done its work.

Finally, scan_keyword is instrumented in a similar way, but with explicit information about what keyword it is seeking. The code

```
incr(trace_depth);
if tracing_stats > 2 then
    begin print("<<'"); print(s);
    print_char("`");
    end;
```

is inserted at the beginning of $\S 407$, and

```
    if tracing_stats > 2 then print_char("*");
exit: decr(trace_depth);
    if tracing_stats > 2 then print_char(">");
    end;
```

replaces the code at the end. (Here '*' denotes 'success': the keyword was found.)

For example, here's the beginning of what DemoTEX prints out when scanning the right-hand side of the assignment to $\backslash h f u z z$ in problem 18:

```
|! the character = <d
    |! the character 1 <i
        |! the character 1
        |! the character 0
        |! the character 0
        |! the letter P>
    |! the letter P <'em'
        |! the letter P> <'ex'
        |! the letter P> <'true'
        |! the letter P> <'pt'
        |! the letter P
        |! \ifdim =\ifdim <x <d
            |! the character 1 <i
            |! the character 1
            |! the character 2
```

```
    |! the letter p>
|! the letter p <'em'
    |! the letter p> <'ex'
    |! the letter p> <'true'
    |! the letter p> <'pt'
    |! the letter p
    |! the letter t*>
|! the character =>
```

(After seeing ' $=$ ', $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ calls scan_dimen. The next character seen is '1'; scan_dimen puts it back to be read again and calls scan_int, which finds '100', etc. This output demonstrates the fact that $\mathrm{TEX}_{\mathrm{E}}$ frequently uses back_input to reread a character, when it isn't quite ready to deal with that character.)
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## Webless Literate Programming Jim Fox


#### Abstract

This article introduces c-web (no-web, for short) as an alternative to the CWEB 'literate programming' system. c-b is a method which allows a programmer to both tex (format) and cc (compile) the same source, without the need for preprocessors.


## What is c-web

In $\mathbf{c}$ all comments begin with the characters '/*' and end with the characters '*/'. c-w is a macro package that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{EX}}$ all comments, 'verbatims' all the code, and uses the comment delimiters to switch between the two modes. A c-web program can be compiled directly by $\mathbf{c}$ and can be formatted directly by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. It has the advantage of high portability, while providing fully $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 'd comments, page headers and footers, and a table of contents.

