## The state of TeX

Boris Veytsman

This article is based on my address at the Annual General Meeting at TUG 2017. I am grateful to the TUG Board & AGM participants for the discussion, and, of course, to GUST for the hosting of Bacho  $T_FX!$ 

When we talk about the state of TEX, three things come to mind: TEX software, TEX community and the TEX Users Group as part of this community.

While the original Knuthian tex is frozen, TEX software as a whole is not. Nor should it be. The world around us changes, so our software must change if we want to stay relevant. There have been several important shifts in digital typesetting, which presented challenges for us, such as the switch to the PDF formats, and the advent of first 8-bit encodings and then Unicode. In response TEX software changed: modern engines and macro packages natively work with PDF, Unicode, TTF and OTF fonts.

We have now new challenges, which need to be addressed. There are new PDF features, which are not adequately addressed by the free viewers. Another important problem is the emergence of PDF archiving and accessibility standards. Governments increasingly demand standards compliance from their contractors. If T<sub>F</sub>X does not produce compliant output "out of the box", it will become not relevant first for government-related publications, then for big publishers, and then for the rest of the world. To ensure compliance we need both volunteer development effort and some funding. I think we can reach publishers and other stakeholders about this. I urge those interested in this issue to join the TUG Accessibility & Standards Working Group (https://tug.org/twg/accessibility).

Speaking of **TeX community**, I must say that at almost any TeX conference there are concerns about the decreased popularity of TeX. I do not necessarily share this feeling. As a TeX consultant I see among my clients, besides the usual bunch of publishers, professionals and students of mathematics & "hard" sciences, also rather unexpected users: lawyers, physicians, biologists, philosophers, and many others. Still for the future of TeX, we need to ensure that new generations are exposed to it. I do not say that *everybody* should use TeX. Rather I think those who might like it must be given the opportunity to learn and use it. We should show the

possibilities of TEX and friends to the students of schools and universities. We should encourage teachers to share their experience, lesson plans and ideas. Perhaps we can help to organize competitions among students and teachers, olympiads, TEX camps and courses. Again I would like to use this opportunity to remind about the TUG Education Working Group (https://tug.org/twg/edutex) and urge those interested in TEX in schools and universities to join.

The efforts described above require coordination, organization, and, let us be practical, money. This leads us to the state of the **TeX Users Group**. In the old days TUG membership was almost the only way to get those TeX tapes or the answers to one's TeXnical questions. Now with the advent of the Internet, easy access to distributions and online forums, the situation has changed. Thus it is not unexpected that the membership in TUG has considerably dropped. Is TUG itself still relevant or needed?

I think it is. We need a center to coordinate development, advocacy and fundraising efforts. TUG seems to be a natural entity for this—especially being a tax exempt (in the United States) organization. We already serve several TEX-related projects by collecting money for them, and we can increase this activity.

However, I think we need to reconsider the role of TUG membership for TUG. While we always will serve our members, we need to realize that we also serve the TEX community in general. We should accept that many TEX users do not want the commitment of full membership. We should tell these users that any donation of time or money is welcome too. We also need to do fundraising among organizational TEX users: publishers, universities, etc. In other words, we might want to consider behaving as a charity organization serving the wide community of TEX users, including, but not limited to, our core membership.

In conclusion, there are many interesting opportunities and challenges for us as  $T_EX$  developers,  $T_EX$  users and TUG members. I hope we all will rise to meet them.

 Boris Veytsman President, TEX Users Group borisv (at) lk (dot) net http://borisv.lk.net